

CRep203

📅 Sat, Oct 18, 2025 7:20PM ⌚ 2:24:11

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

UFO UAP hearing, comet 3I Atlas, interstellar objects, government disclosure, religious implications, extraterrestrial life, military whistleblowers, national security, scientific hypothesis, social media impact, religious dialog, consciousness evolution, technological advancements, government transparency., UFO sightings, drone mitigation, Hellfire missile, radar systems, military drones, Houthi drones, UAP hearings, FBI budget, technology gap, black budget, disinformation, government secrecy, radar officer, sensor data, accountability.

SPEAKERS

Speaker 3, Speaker 1, Speaker 2, Speaker 4, Speaker 5



00:00

Are coming to you from the city of the weird



Speaker 1 00:21

exploring topics from the esoteric and unexplored to dimensions unknown, shining a light of truth on the darkest corners of our reality.



00:33

Welcome to the curious realm. You

S

Speaker 1 00:50

Well, hello everybody, and welcome to this very special by location edition of curious realm. I am officially in Nashville, Tennessee right now prepping a show which is going to be awesome. I can't wait for it. I'm very excited to be a part of it. But as always, we bring you new content. We bring you new conversations, not replay, not old stuff, things like that. In the second part of our conversations tonight, we will be joined by our good friend, Mike turbo, from five by five news, we will be talking about the recent UFO UAP hearing that happened in Congress. We will be breaking down the the now famed video of the the hellfire missile hitting the quote, UFO UAP in that. And what that actually is, we'll be getting into some of that. And in the first segment, I am more than happy to welcome our good friend, Michael J S Carter. Michael J S Carter is not only a reverend in the in the world, but also TV personality shows like ancient aliens, all kinds of things. The truth is out there, he's been on all kinds of programs. He is an experienter. He is a healer. Welcome back to the show. Michael J S Carter, how are you? My friend,

S

Speaker 2 02:17

brother, it's always a pleasure, and it's good to see you. And here we are, man, going into the last quarter of the year, right? And it's always, it's always exciting, isn't it? And so, yeah, I love this time of year for many reasons. As you know, saying Here we are, well,

S

Speaker 1 02:36

you know it's, it's really interesting, because there really is an odd history of things that happened during this time of year as things move into a new year. And one of them that is coming up rapidly, that we've been talking about on social media, on the curious realm group for the longest time, is three i Atlas, the the now infamous comet three i Atlas that is coming in named, named because, well, it's it's found by the Atlas Satellite Array. And the Atlas Satellite Array is made specifically to find interstellar objects specifically near Earth, interstellar objects, things that may impact Earth, that kind of stuff. So it's titled Three. I because it's the third interstellar object to be found by the Atlas system. And it's, it's interesting, as you know, I'm a huge, avid photographer of the night sky. I've got numerous images of comets, things like that. I wanted to have this conversation with you today, Reverend, because a you and I have differing opinions on on the object itself. However, we have 100% the same opinion on the absolute hype following the wake of it and what's happening on social media and the conversation that's being generated from it, and the use of said conversation by the disclosure Community and Government. So I think this is a hugely important information conversation to have, because it's one of those that really peels apart both sides of such a conversation, but but also exposes that, that seedy underbelly that's always there as a means of control.

S

Speaker 2 04:34

Oh, well said, well said. And just for the audience to know, please, I don't, you know, I I'm not trying to walk anything back. I don't know if it's a meteor or a ship. I tend to think that it may be something not human made. I tend to think that only because, and this may be flimsy, but I remember with the Roswell reading about that and this. Is what I was reading, you know, that there was a sure, and then, and then we went back to the more scientific view. Here's what we're really seeing. So I could be wrong,

S

Speaker 1 05:11

well, in, you know, kind of, and that's okay, yeah, no, and that's, that's the beautiful thing is that you should, we should all have an opinion. We should all have an informed opinion. And we should all be looking for data that changes our mind, or Yes, or at least comes as new data into the data set. And this is something that I have held since the beginning of this, since a mua, mua, even

S

Speaker 2 05:36

the first Yeah, yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. Well, yes. Now interesting. Isn't that interesting? I didn't think a muamua was a ship,

S

Speaker 1 05:45

Yeah, me neither. Yeah, me neither. I didn't think that was a ship. And here's the thing, though, is that sure this one is Yeah, well, and that's the thing, is that Avi Loeb isn't either. And that's the point that I brought up with Darcy, thinking, that's what I brought up with Darcy we're the other day, is that, you know, the the media takes something and buddy, buddy, they take the smallest bit of worm on the hook, and they will expand and expound on it. Yes, and what he said was, this object is very strange. You know, we haven't observed a lot of these. No, we haven't. It's literally the third 1/3, interstellar object that we have seen in such a way. So we don't know a lot period about any of it. Now, what he said was, there's a small chance, just because of the source of where this seems to come from, trajectory wise, things like that, that it's coming from up around Sagittarius, which is where the WOW signal came from. Hey, hey, there's like a two 3% chance that it might be an alien ship,

S

Speaker 2 06:56

right? You know, remember him saying that, and I remember people taking it and running

S

Speaker 1 07:02

with and that's all you saw on the news. And then you Yeah, then you start seeing all the images. And here's the images. I'll bring them up right now. This is just a Google search for three eye Atlas images. And this is off my graphics machine, folks. So it's not like I'm on this machine searching day in, day out. I search here about once or twice a week, so most of if you're not searching for this stuff, this is a really good example of what will show up when you just do a general search. Yeah. Now this image right here, even though it says NASA confirms three i Atlas, utterly fake image that second image that you see from FF, ESP, that is an AI generated image, the one on the left from SCI news, that's an actual image of a comet. That's the actual image of the comet. But all these others from space and everything else, even though it's from a reputable source like space.com it's an AI generated image. Yeah. So when you start marrying that on social media with with scientific fact, with like, yes, you know, there's more nickel than usual. With Yes, it's putting off more CO two and more water than usual, all kinds of I

S

Speaker 2 08:16

was, that's what I was glued into, and that's what I heard. Yeah. Now last, just last week, I just came across a YouTube I didn't listen to all of it, a YouTube video with Avi lo refuting, having a little Tete. A Tete with Russ cohort, cohort, the Australian brother. Yeah. Journalist, yeah, Newsmax.

U

08:42

And apparently

S

Speaker 2 08:46

Avi was saying, I never said that definitively, that this was a

S

Speaker 1 08:51

craft Exactly, exactly like from the horse's mouth. His job as a scientist and the job of science is to hyperbolically hypothesize Yes. Literally, that is the job of to fearlessly hypothesize Yes, and then go find data and poke an experiment and see if the hypothesis floats or sinks. That's That's

 Speaker 2 09:14
science, you know. And so I made this a part of me, so

 Speaker 1 09:20
I don't blame science here in us. I you know,

 Speaker 2 09:26
there's a part of me that wishes there was a crap that's probably what you're hearing.

 09:29
Oh, sure, absolutely. I want nothing more than for

 Speaker 2 09:34
Yeah, I do. I do as we were talking, before I do. My antenna are up about the control and the fear factor and so, you know, it's as I was speculating with you before this may be a way craft or not. Hot, and it's leaning that it's not a craft, but it may be a way, another way of seeing how the populace will react if and when disclosure is imminent.

 Speaker 1 10:15
Well, and that's just it. That's just, that's what

S

Speaker 2 10:19

I'm thinking. And also the religious ramifications, because no one, no politician, no theologian, male or female, as yet has dealt with what are the ramifications for this, for our religious Yeah, traditions, yeah, and this is a way, because you have the old Native American prophecies that this may be a sign of purification. With the Hopi, you know, talking about the next phase, the next cycle of evolution, you have the Christianity against talking about, this is the second coming or this is something demonic, so all those things start coming up, yeah, yeah. And we can gage, we can use this as a metric in the future of how people are going to react, without someone coming up and saying, You know what, let's talk about this. This has some ramifications on religion. So there's literature that refers to these beings as having a hand in our creation. No one has to get up and put their reputation and pensions and everything on the line by saying it. They can just let it come up organically, because we'll say it for them,

S

Speaker 1 11:42

yeah, well, and that's just it, that's, that's what we've gotten into before, Reverend is, is the way that these things impact the communities, the way that these things drive a dialog and drive a divisive dialog within communities. And yes, that is a perfect means of control. A society that is arguing amongst itself is not paying attention to what either hand is doing. Number one,

U

12:11

exactly,

S

Speaker 1 12:12

exactly like you said before the show, I'm not prone to trust alphabet agencies, mainly because anytime you call one of them before Congress, they lie like, right out, absolutely, absolutely, and that's just it. You know, that was, that was one of the points that we brought up whenever the the last article came out from New York Times, from Leslie Keene, who was, of course, one of the people who drove the opening of the Big D disclosure conversation with black money, black budgets and or dark money, black budgets and UFOs. Yeah, you know. But the latest one was how the intelligence communities, for decades have used tropes that are out there and conversations that are out there in the UFO UAP community as cover for black operations, because we're doing their work for them. Why would they? Why would they have a cover story officer or somebody who has to come up with a narrative when we're out here generating it on social media for them? Yeah,

S

Speaker 2 13:19

we're doing it for them. And it's a dirty business. It's a dirty business, and so and so, here we have the perfect opportunity. We were talking again before the show, and I mentioned high and the shot the retired brigadier general of Israel space program who back in I think it was 2000 was it 2020? Or 2018 who came out there? There we are, who talks about this quite openly. No one has come up and said he needs to be locked up and medicated. No one, you know, no rebuttals. But he did say that there is he said a few things. He said that there is a galactic federation.



14:04

He said that there's also

S

Speaker 2 14:07

a station on the moon. He said also that this federation, there are some ETS who are in favor of disclosure, and some that are not. I found that to be very, very curious. He also said that



14:26

there's some ETS who,

S

Speaker 2 14:30

surprisingly, are not arguing against disclosure. They're not saying because we're too warlike, which that's obvious, or we're not as evolved, but we don't yet have the technology for interstellar interstellar travel, and once we do, we may be eligible to be a part of this galactic federation, which means, I can I imagine, Chris, that there's a people sitting. Around the table talking about Project Earth, yeah, my one of my questions is, well, who's representing us? Because we don't know who's representing the earth, everyday people. However, the other, the other, the other point is that we're not in charge. If one of these et civilizations said, You know what? We're tired of this. We're tired of it. We're going to disclose. Either you guys disclose, or we're going to do which, which, which brings up another conversation. Who's really in charge of disclosure? We're thinking it's our our generals and our our intellectuals and our they may not have anything to do with it, because there has to come from a level above them

S

Speaker 1 15:53

well, and that's just it. These things are so compartmentalized. And once again, part it's kind of like I was, I was just talking with somebody on a show with SEV, talk about about remote about remote viewing, and and the fact that, yes, the government put money into remote viewing, but they'll always say that, like it wasn't efficus, so we stopped funding it. No, no, no, it was efficus. They funded it for 20 years almost. So you don't fund a program for 20 years that you ain't getting nothing out of, exactly, and when they say it wasn't efficus, so we stopped funding it, what that allows for is for their contractors thereof, your black waters, things like that, to use remote viewers as a source of, quote, human gathered intelligence, yes, and do it under black budget projects where now they can fully say we don't do that, but the intelligence that comes from contractors thereof does use that,

S

Speaker 2 16:55

does do it, and especially, and you're not going to give that up, yeah, when you know Other countries are doing it too. That's right, because it's all about the competitive factor in this will and tech and that kind of thing. Yeah, so if I know China's doing it and Russia's doing it and Israel's doing it, and Egypt, so I'm not going to all of a sudden say, well, we don't do that anymore. Yeah, yeah, no, we're not doing it anymore, but I know that my neighbors are doing, yeah, yeah, yeah. It's like I said, it's a dirty business. It's a lot of subterfuge and smoke and mirrors. And, you know, I've come to this conclusion with my after my 68 summers so far on planet Earth, is that we will never fully get full transparency, no, no from our from not just our government, but any government.

S

Speaker 1 17:48

Nope. And you know, it's, it's an interesting concept to think about, especially with there was something that was very interesting brought up by George Knapp during the during the recent hearings, and that was, that was the idea that he was like, I'm sure a lot of these companies that have this stuff in escrow and just like, locked behind doors for the last 50 years, I'm sure that They're looking for an off ramp research wise, because, because you can only, like, myopically, look at something for so long, eventually you have to have the input from other people in research communities. That's true, not just for application science, but for material science. Yes, you know, yes. So he's like, when, when you've been digging into this and having the same sample for 50 years and the same thing to work with for 50 years, you get very myopic with that and and I'm sure that they're looking for a collaborative reach out at this point to be able to take things to the next level, to be able to use things,

P

18:59

and we don't know about it, but, yeah, you're right, but, but

S

Speaker 1 19:02

even then, like, they've got to have an off ramp, they've got to have a way to monetize it. They've got to have it's got to be released onto a shelf, or into the military in one way, shape or form, to be able to recoup the billions of dollars that has been spent in researching it. Yeah, it can't just stay hidden forever. Yeah.

S

Speaker 2 19:23

You know, that touched on a little bit in The Day After Roswell,

U

19:28

yeah, yeah, in the book, Yep, yeah.

S

Speaker 1 19:32

And that's, I, yeah. It's no, no. I was gonna say it's a, it's a dialog of fear. You know, it's something that we talk about with our friend Daniel Harari regularly, whenever we're talking about how the media sees things, how the media uses things, how the media does things.

U

19:52

It's interesting to see the the

S

Speaker 1 19:56

I haven't seen a lot of excitement about it being an. Alien craft within the community, I've seen a lot of fear and much, much like we talked about with the drone swarms, whenever they were happening, how quickly that turned into creature, Beings of Light, beings of darkness, coming in and becoming a religious thread through to that, yeah,

S

Speaker 2 20:23

yeah, yeah. Because eventually, no, no point taken. Eventually again, we know the Vatican's been, sure, been talking about this. But mainstream, you know, you know, church going, people, people who serve churches, people like me, narrow dog is barking, and I understand why. Who wants to come out there and have that dialog? Because the first question that comes up is, are you saying there's no God? What are you saying? But by having these celestial events, and you go from Oh, this may be a ship, to No, no. Now it's really not a ship. And we can, we can tell you that it's not a ship. Sure, you, you, you're raising the questions without raising the questions. You're letting us raise the questions.

S

Speaker 1 21:17

When you also get to metric, how many people stay aboard that train. Yes, even though, even though you have, now once again, provided that off ramp, yeah, yeah, even though you pulled the jacks on the story and had the person who said it come out and say, like, that's yes, like, you grossly misinterpreted and like, I hyperbolically hypothesized you hyperbolic sized by hyperbolic hypothesis, like you added more arch to the rainbow there than what was naturally there. There

S

Speaker 2 21:50

you go. But the conversation is eventually going to have to be had, because now it's still at the tech level. It's still at the tech and it's tech and national security, but as you and I both know, we know that this is really about that, but it's about consciousness. It's about where are we going as a species, and how can we go to that next level of evolution, knowing that we're not alone, that some of these beings obviously not all, because just because you have more better technology doesn't mean you have a spiritual depth. But many of these beings talk about consciousness, the spirituality, yeah, that they have, and how this has affected biblical texts, you know, of the monotheistic religions, sure, prophecies of Aboriginal peoples. That's the conversation that needs to be had, and will probably it's the elephant in the room,

S

Speaker 1 23:00

well, and you know, it's a conversation that we have regularly with people like Keith seeland, you know, author of the Humana verse guide things like that, is, are we ready? I'm pop up old Moon Dancer there, just for the listeners out there who are not aware. Moon Dancer is the name of the ape from 2001 who discovers the weapon, discovers the use of the bone, and that's kind of where we're at, like you were saying, you know, if there are alien races out there that are part of a galactic brotherhood, they are waiting for us to become the ones that can travel amongst the stars the same way they can right Now, we know how to use the technology of that for this, we know how to use it the same way Moon Dancer does. There's 1000 ways you can use a hammer to build industry and society, or you can use it as a weapon. You can use energy, the cracking of the atom, things like that for amazing things, science, all kinds of stuff. And we have, and we do, but we also use it as a weapon, yeah, not just an energy source.

S

Speaker 2 24:11

We're very, you know, primitive in that sense. So, you know, and, yeah, it goes back when the only, you know, the metaphor, the only tool you have is that hammer, everything looks like a nail. That's right. So I think that when we start seeing more celestial events, which we will, yep, it pushes the conversation forward without someone else coming to do it. What does this mean? What does what does this mean? Is this part of the Hopi prophecy of purification? Is it part of maybe this whole tribulation period that Christianity says that we, if we're not going into it now, we will be, yeah, or is it just that we. We are so we know our ancestors did this, we look to the heavens for answers absolutely. And we're still doing it, and I don't think we'll ever stop, but hopefully we'll be looking at it from less jaundiced eyes. Well,

S

Speaker 1 25:19

yeah, yeah, yeah, precisely. And it's one of those we right now, especially, especially with not just three. I Atlas in the sky. I just popped up a second ago, from Vice

P

25:34

to comets. I love when you do that break across the sky

S

Speaker 1 25:36

in October. There are three comets actively in our sky right now. One of them is hidden behind the Sun, which is three eye Atlas. The other two are right underneath the Big Dipper coming up like you'll actively be able to see two comets in the sky at the same time, something that you rarely see. So when you start looking at these alignments, when you start looking at this stuff, like, yes, these are things that ancient cultures would have seen as, like, the doom of man. Like, yeah,

S

Speaker 2 26:08

maybe, maybe the birth the new messiah is born, another avatar, yeah, you know exactly, exactly they look to the heavens. And so I think that that's exciting. Same, same. Same. I also think that we need to temper it. Yes, science and

S

Speaker 1 26:25

research, right? That's right, and that's just it, because otherwise you end up with things like the end time prophecy that just happened, where like people, people were like on social media, literally looking for somebody to like, take care of their pet during the rapture. And it's like, you understand what you're telling that person, right? When you're like, Hey, can you take care of my dog after I'm raptured? It's like, what are you saying about me? What am I gonna sit here and go through this I get left behind? Thanks, buddy. You know, yeah,

S

Speaker 2 27:03

but it also, but it also just still goes to show you how it is easy. It is for bad science and Bad Religion, absolutely, to sway people, yeah, and it's very dangerous. It is. It

S

27:16

is very dangerous.

S

Speaker 1 27:18

And it's one of those, like, we have to temper that, like you have to temper that from the pulpit, yes, whenever you talk about these



27:25

things, definitely,



Speaker 2 27:27

and also have to temper it in me, in the sense of, I really would be pleased if this was some type of craft, surely, okay, surely push the conversation where I feel it needs to go, but that's one person, but, but it's probably not a craft. I mean, you know, let's be honest. Yeah, it's getting people talking, that's it, and it's bringing religion into the picture, because we have to, we can't let Did you see when Jacques ballet was saying, probably for different reasons, that I think you did, because you posted it, that he's concerned that journalists researchers are not, you know, using everyday folk like me and you, or the farmer or what Have you, we're just getting all our information about UAPs from the military. Now, I said that months ago, and I don't have the clearances that he has or whatever, but it's concerning, because if they're the only people that you're going to they control the narrative.



Speaker 1 28:38

Yeah, yeah. And it's also, hey, don't forget back in rule, there it is. He said could trigger complex religious insecurity card. Religious came up first, yeah, and that will, like I

S

Speaker 2 28:55

said, we're not ready to talk about it yet, but, but if you're only going to let the military talk about. And you know what it reminded me of real quick when I was the chaplain, and I was doing my chaplaincy training, and, you know, I do energy work, but you know the Reiki and that kind of thing, which is enhanced because of my contact experience. And I remember being annoyed, ticked off because of the closed mindedness of religion. And thank you, first of all, because, ladies and gentlemen, this web, this man has can do websites. This is my website. And thank you for putting the new pictures on. They don't all have to go on, but now I'm bald, so I just didn't want everybody you know, you know that that's not him, that guy's had dreadlocks anyway. But what it reminded me of how I felt when you had doctors qualified Larry Dossey, Vietnam vet, Medic. In Vietnam, he and his wife was a nurse, writing books like healing words, prayer is good. Medicine. Loved it. Have them over here. But no one went to clergy to say, How are y'all feeling as chaplains or whatever, because the unspoken message was, you guys are too conservative. You know, we'd rather go to Larry Dorsey to tell us that prayer is good medicine, not to someone who's seminary trained. We'd rather go to Larry Dorsey and his wife about healing words, and I'm like, Guys, we're right here too. Yeah, yeah. All of us are not closed minded. All of us are not going to give you some religious dogma and tell you you're a sinner, and we would know there are other people. I'm an energy healer. I'd be more than happy to talk to you. Well now we have people who I'm not going to talk to, a religious person, I'm not going to talk to someone who's maybe had an encounter. I'm going to go to the military. I find that troubling. Yeah, yeah. I find that troubling. Same here, and I think you know, Jacques ballet, it's not the military triggering the questions. The questions were always there. They're just not being they're not being addressed. Yeah, yeah. I don't want to hear a politician tell me about verses in the Bible. I love Tim Burke.

S

Speaker 1 31:34

That's the last person I want to tell me anything religious. Well, he

S

Speaker 2 31:40

Yeah. Well, he came out a couple months ago and said that he believed that there were Bible stories that were related to UFOs. He used Ezekiel and Elijah. We all know that Enoch. But why is he the one? Yeah, I mean, he's probably got a clearance to say that. He just can't go and say that. But why is he the one talking about this? I guess he's a man of faith. I get it. Sure. Why not go to someone who's trained in biblical languages, or, if not in biblical languages, you're trained as a theologian. I'm I'm an experienter and a and a Yeah, and a reverend. I'm a clergy person. Why are we hearing more from those communities? Yeah? Why do we have to have the government telling us this? I think that's very concerning well.

S

Speaker 1 32:34

And you know, on the flip side of that coin, you've you've also got the other side of things when it comes to religion and experience and and the fact of saying that there there is no alien, the only thing that's alien is demonic

P

32:54

and and just

S

Speaker 1 32:56

digging that rabbit hole deeper and deeper every time which, which doesn't allow for conversation. Well, yeah,

S

Speaker 2 33:04

because, because brother Burchette is only going to see things through his southern evangelical That's right. That's right. Which is, which is fine. But let's have another voice that says, look, go back and look at sections work. Well, go back and look at Paul Wallace. Go back and read some of my work. Go back and that's right, read Dr Barry Downing, where we're not steeping it in us versus them, that these are the possibilities. That's That's all I'm saying. But I think we will get there. It's just that the powers that be are not ready for it now, but it but things like this comet notice it. I'm calling it a comment.

P

33:44

Are giving us

S

Speaker 2 33:48

an opening? Yeah, yeah. And I think about, what does that mean?

S

Speaker 1 33:53

Well, and I think, more than anything, I'll pop back up the sun article. And I know folks sun, but when you start looking at these like, like, here's an image right now of NASA in panic, and a picture of DR MICHIO KAKU and things like that, and it's like,

S

Speaker 2 34:13

that is not the case. It's not honest.

S

Speaker 1 34:17

Yeah, no, it's it's a horrible lie. What you do. It's not how to

S

Speaker 2 34:21

go whatsoever. I'm looking at, oh, I lost it anyway. I was looking at just Googling about our topic, and they said there's no religious connotation to it. And I get it, but they were talking about, they did bring in Hopi prophecies. They did bring in Matthew they bring in Revelations they've been so we can broaden they brought in some, I just lost it. They brought in some, some verses from the Quran. So, you know, so we could get a more sweeping view of what these celestial events. Can and possibly mean That's right, what they

S

Speaker 1 35:03

have meant to previous cultures, what they have meant to previous religious implication and and how those things reverberate through our society. And once again, I think, I think that is why this conversation is hugely important, is because it really helps splay open and peel back the way in which we have a conversation. And are we even able to have the conversation across the aisle? You know,

S

Speaker 2 35:33

about our about our origins, about who we are, really,

S

Speaker 1 35:39

well, well, and even that, even that religious side of it, once again, the idea of, that's a religious question, Oh, absolutely, absolutely it is, yeah, yeah. And a very existential religious question. And as is, as is, the question of, is three i Atlas, a ship a comet, or is it a harbinger of a biblical message or something else, of things to come with, with the, once again, with the other two comets in the sky? Because exactly I mean, that's what the that's what the wise men followed. They followed a star in the sky. That's

S

Speaker 2 36:17

right. That's right. And so we need to have that conversation. We need to be open to the barriers. We don't have to all agree with it, but we need to know and to say, because this is where our religions are coming from, extraterrestrial life, the conversation about that, about the things that we see in the sky, are they harbingers of things, fast things to come? Can one believe in God, if I may use that word, and still, believe in extraterrestrials? These are the questions that are just below the surface that we're not our leaders. Are they're having it among themselves, but they're not ready for us to have them yet, and so we can't wait for them. Yeah, we can't wait for them well

S

Speaker 1 37:06

and talking and that we are, that's an interesting point, because I don't think that they would necessarily wait for us either.

S

Speaker 2 37:18

No, no, they're not. But see, but see, it's the secret. See, you're sick as your secrets, so you're having the conversation, but you're not sharing it with us. That's the lack of transparency. Yeah, because, you know there's power there. Oh yeah, there's also, there's also, when we find out that you guys have been talking about this for eons and not sharing it, the secret knowledge that secret societies had about, you know, just how the human growth potential? Because when once we realize the human growth potential, you can no longer control us. Yeah, you know that's, you look at the Tower of Babel story. That's what that's about. My God, now, if they, if they build this ship, or I don't think it was an apartment building they were building, yeah, there'll be nothing that they're not violating codes for housing because we're told it's a tower or whatever it was, probably some type of technology, but be that that's neither here nor there. These gods say, Whoa, we got to roll this back. These people are getting they're going to be like us. Yeah. So what can we do to screw it up? Well, the Bible says we go down and mess up the language. In the Mayan, Pope of Vu, there was a mist that was sprayed on on on humanity, to keep us, to dumb us down. So these stories are there, but we're not told about them. We're not We're not told about them. No one's interpreting them for us. But it's a story about power. Who stays in power? These beings are multiplying. We've gone past them mining for gold for us now they're way past that. They're multiplying. They're building technology. What do we do? Well, the Mayans say a mist was sprayed on us. The Bible says the God said, The Lord says, let's let's go down and muddy up their their languages, but would. But the bottom line of the stories are the potential that they have, we need to stifle it, because there'll be nothing that they won't be able to do.

S

Speaker 1 39:37

Yeah, yeah. If they attain this height,

S

Speaker 2 39:42

there's nothing. And so if these right now leaders are probably saying, if we, if we, if they stop thinking about color and race and who's sleeping with who and whatever, there'll be nothing that they won't be able to do. So. We need to keep the game going

S

Speaker 1 40:03

well, and that's just it. You know, the idea of, once again, that perfect means of control, whether you're talking the the fear based side of religion, not the, not the beautiful side of religion, okay, not the, not the positive side, things like that, the fear based side of it, we got to keep that going that causes people religiously to point finger at each other, their fellow man, and forget their big red words.



40:31

You know, we it



Speaker 1 40:33

becomes a dialog driver at that point. Yes, and much like I've said about like the the recent work in the last few years of people like Stephen Greer who have made very divisive statements about experiencers in the community and their experiences.



40:53

Yeah,



Speaker 2 40:54

that's pure ego. Is it? Well And and, you know, you can't even I see, I see it so clearly, sure, I see, I see a man who came out, started out doing good work, insightful, creative, innovative work. And I see that other people were, other voices were being heard. And I see someone is struggling to stay relevant,



Speaker 1 41:19

well, well. And it's also the fact of once again, like, I think, with Lou Elizondo, I think the best of intentions were there. I think that they have much like agencies tend to do much like they do in the media, things like that. They surround them with other people, who then feed them bad information, and they become such a trusted and vetted source that they become an unquestionable source to them. And when they say, this is what happened, they just hook, line and sinker, go with it. You know, much like the vetted images that came to Lou Elizondo that were used in his day, it's like, at what point do you stop vetting the images that you get from a source and just trust them implicitly? Which is strange for somebody from the world of intelligentsia, unless you're helping put forth the veil of intelligentsia, right?

S

Speaker 2 42:17

And lesson learned, you know? Yeah, I think that we still have to have the spirit of discernment, yeah, but especially in religion and but especially in politics and government, yep. And, you know, I say we've all had sometimes gotten god, yeah, but I've gotten god, yeah, yeah. We all get God. And I don't think that if you don't wipe out a person's work because of no, you know, something like that. But I think that in the world of more misinformation, disinformation we have and with AI, it's we have to be more diligent about what we accept as truth, absolutely, and I think that that's a good thing. It puts the onus back on us, as opposed to because it's easier to be led. I don't have to think this is what I was told. And we see that in politics. We see it in religion. And now maybe it's time, part of growing up, part of childhood's end, if I can use that phrase, yeah, is that we need to be able to research on our own and to trust our own guidance,

S

Speaker 1 43:34

yeah, yeah. That, that true spirit of discernment, and the idea of, you know, getting, getting to the depth of that, and once again, the the idea that

P

43:46

people, people have been

S

Speaker 1 43:49

talking about end times for a long time, and that's that's one of the things that you have to remember, folks, is that there have been numerous dates given, even within my lifetime, no question of the end. We just had the whole rapture situation like we were talking about.

S

Speaker 2 44:08

And what are you told that? We've been told that this, would you know, if you look at the teachings of Jesus, we've been told that there'll be people who will be, you know, and so, and that's when we have to have our own spirit of discernment, because many will be led astray. Yeah, yeah.

S

Speaker 1 44:27

And that's just it. You know the idea of stories like this leading people astray, stuff like that. And, I mean, here's a article from Daily Mail that was just brought out a couple days ago. America's most renowned Prophet makes startling prediction about alien mothership, you know? And it's, yeah, it's one of those, like, there, there are people who, and I'm not going to say blind faith, follow somebody, you know, they're. Faith is founded in the way that any faith is founded, all right, folks. But when you are willing to accept somebody's word as such gospel,

S

Speaker 2 45:13

no question, and it's been going on with your Bible stories about it, that you can read about it and you punish us, it's been going on, and that's the thing, we are easy prey, because we give our power away, because it's easier not to have to think. It's easier to have someone tell you this is right, this is wrong, and it's just life would be easier if you had you don't have no accountability. Hey, Michael, you told me, blah, blah, blah, you were wrong. So now the blow backs on Me, and I think that as we go forward, individually and collectively, especially around UAPs, especially around the stuff that's happening in the heavens, we're going to have to be able to trust ourselves. Yeah, yeah, I'm gonna have to learn to do that. I'm I'm teaching a course in Miracle class at my church. It was, it was requested, and what I'm trying to get people to see is that, yes, well, the Course talks about that, but be able to trust your spirit, to trust your guidance, if you will, and not just because I said it or because someone else said it, and because there's power in that as because otherwise I could start my own cult. I could have these people sitting at my feet and I'm giving them these pearls of wisdom, I think they are, and that's what happens, but but to empower people in spirituality and religion is you want them to get to rely on themselves within them, if you will.

P

46:51

It's easier not to do that.

S

Speaker 1 46:52

Yeah, yeah. I mean, but the the last, the final night's lesson with my seniors every year, whenever I taught CCE and Religious Studies to juniors and seniors in high school and junior high, kids and adults. My final class, if I taught adults or seniors, was, go look it up. I may have been lying to you all year. The only way you find out is to go open the catechism and actually go find a go find a man with a collar and ask him what the Church teaching is. Aside from that, go look it up. Keep questioning. Question your faith and the root of your faith every day. Yeah, challenge your faith every day. If you don't, you've got a problem.

S

Speaker 2 47:38

No, it's right. What is it? You know, the unexamined life is not worth living. The unexamined faith is not worth having. Yeah, yeah. You know, cherish your doubts, yeah, and because you'll come by a stronger faith that you earned it. Not your grandmother was a Christian or Muslim or whatever, not your granddaddy, not your mom and dad. It becomes your faith because you experienced it, yeah, and that's something you'll hold on to

S

Speaker 1 48:09

well, and that's just it. And when you're firm in that, you you allow yourself to take in other data. You allow yourself to allow in challenging data, because you're not afraid of a parry and thrust conversation in that kind of way. You're able to fearlessly have a conversation. You're able to fearlessly confront what you believe with new data given said

S

Speaker 2 48:37

several years ago that if science disproved Buddhism, Buddhist philosophy, because Buddhism is not a religion. It's a philosophy. He said, The Dalai Lama said that I would have to change my approach. Yeah, you won't hear many priests or Rabbi say that. Yeah, you know, I have lots of dialog with people on this side of the pond and on the other side of the pond, and they're mostly of the Christian faith, the Jewish faith, and they have said to me, Michael, if what you're saying is true, if you're telling me that UFOs exist, UAPs exist, and that what you that they're in the Bible, I will have to stop believing. I will have to give up my faith. And I say, why? Yeah, the very people that we're talking about who were wrestling in the Bible, they didn't give up their faith. They just lived in a world where it was commonly known that they walked among Gods and Goddesses that we will call ETS. They didn't give up their faith. Why would you have to do that? But they cannot. They cannot see it. Yeah, they cannot let that new information in, yeah.

S

Speaker 1 49:55

And that's just it, you know. And even the Pope said, in like 2000 Five, 2006 that you know, the if, if aliens exist like that, does not dismiss the self.

P

50:10

Francis, yes,

 **Speaker 1** 50:13
yeah, yeah. So the idea, the idea, and here's the Vatican astronomers saying, you know, if alien exists, they may not need redemption.

 50:20
Father, Joseph, you know, as a Jesuit,

 **Speaker 1** 50:23
yeah. Oh, yeah, yeah. So this is,

 **Speaker 2** 50:27
this is all the conversation starter because of this comment, yeah. And that's the conversation we need,

 **Speaker 1** 50:34
well, exactly, and we need to be able to once again, I think it really peels back the way in which these communities, quote, have conversations, if you, if you want to call it a conversation, I wouldn't call most of it an actual reach across the aisle, open hearted conversation. People get pretty nasty about it.

 **Speaker 2** 50:54
They do, you know, we're trying to have a dialog.

 **Speaker 1** 50:57
Yeah, yeah. And it's one of those that doesn't, that doesn't behoove capital R research in any way, shape or form, and it doesn't really further us down the road. It actually stymies all conversation like you are, you are actively putting down layers of mortar and brick for the wall of the conversation.

S

Speaker 2 51:19

But remember, there are people who benefit, yes, when we

S

Speaker 1 51:23

are arguing with each other, that's right, that's right, and that

S

Speaker 2 51:26

people keep the status quo, let them argue with each

S

Speaker 1 51:31

other. Yep, that that's just it. It is, it is the distraction and where you're putting your energy, and if you're putting your energy into that 24/7, then you don't have energy to pay attention to the rest. You don't have energy to pay attention to what the other hands are doing.

S

Speaker 2 51:47

No, you don't have energy to live.

S

Speaker 1 51:51

Yeah, yeah. But well, in that, that's just it as well. You know, it's something that we talk about on the show all the time, is the the ways in which our energy is given away, and that we give it away, and and the studies that have been done on the actual damage to individuals from negative news cycles, from all of these things that have only increased since covid Because as as our connectivity increases, these things increase. So we have to be willing to have these conversations in this kind of way, where, where, like our conversation started out tonight. We, like I said, we had different approaches as to what three eye Atlas is, different, different hopes and aspirations as to what it is that kind of stuff. But it doesn't mean that we can't get to the root of this.

S

Speaker 2 52:47

I think it is a comment now, but I mean the data, one day it's one day it will be something it will be, and then yeah, and then we'll have to have another conversation

S

Speaker 1 53:00

Well, and that's just it. I'm talking about collectively, absolutely. And as was just shown all of a few days ago, it was really funny. Let me see if I can find that. But like, we just had a major near miss astrological, yeah, I saw that we had a major asteroid just breeze right past us. That because all telescopes are focused on three eye Atlas and like the Atlas system, the Atlas system is made to look out for near Earth impacts and Neos Near Earth Objects. And yeah, it flew just past the orbit of the moon, like it was, it was a real close call. It was close with a with a very, very large object.

S

Speaker 2 53:48

So we've had these. The Earth has had this before. Oh, yeah. It's just that, yeah, you know, it's just happening when we're on the planet, yeah. But this is part of being, yeah, yeah. This has happened before, floods, earthquakes, you know, this is what happens when you're on the planet, tsunamis. Yeah, yeah.

S

Speaker 1 54:07

Here it is. Sneaky asteroid zoom past Antarctica closer than a satellite, and astronomers didn't catch it till hours later. So I was wrong, like with, with, with in near Earth orbit range so and because attentions are elsewhere, we totally missed it. We whiffed that. We found out after it passed us. So these things happen all the time. The question is, what's being focused on by the media? What's being used to kick people up, up into a fervor, into that state that is controllable. You know, because as as they've said numerous times, like we can have all these collision systems we want that's going to happen regularly, where it's like, whoa. We just. Miss that

S

Speaker 2 55:00

it's the new normal, and people have to be reminded, yeah, yeah, yeah, that this is part of being on the planet.

S

Speaker 1 55:09

Yeah, no, exactly, exactly, and it's just the fact of this is just the beginning. It's just the beginning. It's not going to be the end of it. This is just the third object. And the third object, when was a mua, MUA like 2022 23 something like that. So within the within three, four years of that

S

Speaker 2 55:32

system, not counting objects that we just were never told about,

S

Speaker 1 55:35

yeah, yeah. Well, well, things like this that it was used to detect for but this is just the third interstellar object, third confirmed interstellar object. I'm sure that there are other things that were false positives, what have you. But once again, it's just the beginning. It's just the tip of the iceberg. We're going to have more interstellar objects come through. We knew that they happened. We just never tracked them, or were able to until now. So guaranteed, there have been other things. It's just an it's an interesting study in humanity right now to see what the recoil is societally and and how the ripple effect happens, of how these things hit the communities and how it drives conversation, yes, the divisive wedges of conversation that it introduces. So yeah, I'll be, I'll be paying close attention over the next month as things yes approach and all that kind of stuff. So I want to thank you for coming on tonight, as always, for taking the time to come on have these conversations.

P

56:47

I'm here if you need me. I

S

Speaker 1 56:48

always, always you are and I greatly thank you for it before we let you go. Let everybody know where they can go, to find you, where they can go, to contact you for readings, for Reiki work.

S

Speaker 2 57:02

Yeah, there's a couple things I know you you can go to Amazon and you can find all my books on Amazon. My email is Michael J S carter@gmail.com, my website, which brother Chris has put up for me. Is Michael js carter.com, there'll be some new pictures of my current Yep. Look what I wanted to say very quickly, Chris, is I had, you know, I did three episodes of ancient aliens that were supposed to air in March, and they never did. And I was very concerned. I mean, I'm in insurance business, it doesn't, you know, it doesn't happen. And I found out just two months ago, well, no, what am I saying two months ago, two weeks ago, that they all got cut. Oh, man, yeah, yeah, they all got cut. But I'm supposed to be going to New York in about a month to shoot some other episodes. Okay, you know what happens? Either the writer decides, or they go in a different direction and they apologize, if you see, but I'm a big boy. I know it happens, but yeah, it was just disappointing, because one of them, I think I told you, was going to be about they wanted to do something on experiences, and they wanted to took three hours to do my story, but that's not go out, but I will keep you and you know in mind, we're supposed to be doing something around Halloween. I'm going to, I'm sorry, the weekend after Halloween. Okay, I may be in New York shooting, but you know, check me out. There plenty of podcasts. Go to my website, go see my books, and if you want to get in touch with me, just email me,

S

Speaker 1 58:47

and I will respond absolutely well. Michael, good Reverend, thank you. As always, it's always a pleasure talking with you. Thank

S

Speaker 2 58:55

you for putting the new pictures on wherever you I'll leave that up to you. I just wanted to have you more current. And if you need me,

S

Speaker 1 59:03

call absolutely and may I say the Cisco look suits you.

S

Speaker 2 59:08

Thank you, brother. It's easier to take care of dreadlocks. I was swimming because, you know, that's my exercise, yeah. And I'm like, I'm going to drown myself. So I am the Cisco.

S**Speaker 1 59:21**

Well, fantastic. Thank you so much again, as always, hold the line real quick while we close things out for this segment, while you are online, checking out everything from Michael J S Carter, Michael J S carter.com, everybody, make sure to stop on by curious realm. Curious realm.com forward slash store is where you can find all of the books, videos, classes, everything, by our guests. That's also where you can find your link to curious research and the field observation log. Everybody, when we come back from this quick commercial break, we will be joined by our good friend Mike terber from five by five news. We will be talking. About the recent UFO UAP hearing in Congress, updates on all of that, updates on the video that was famously put out, and explanations on that. So we will be back with that and more right after this. Everybody.

S**Speaker 1 1:00:22**

You the key to good science is good research. At the heart of good research is a good data set with the field observation and encounter log from curious research, you can easily keep track of your investigative information all in one place, making it easier to review cases and readily see comparisons and contrasts between them, whether out in the woods, squatching in a back room, gathering EVPs, or using high tech gear to track UFO, UAP activity this easy to carry pocket size, scientific data log is the perfect companion for any field researcher. You can find your copy of the curious research field observation and encounter log@amazon.com or visit the official curious realm store at curious realm.com forward slash store to reserve your copy for yourself, your family or a mind that you want to open that website again is curious realm.com, forward, slash, store, you

S

Speaker 1 1:01:40

you. Well, hello everybody, and welcome back from that quick commercial break. Thank you so much for staying tuned through that. And also, thank you so much to our sponsors, especially true hemp science. If you are a user of CB, CBD products like I am, stop on by true him science.com today, I have been using the products made by Christopher Lynch and true him science for easily the last five years, and I can attest to the fact that they are, hands down, some of the best CBD that I have found in dispensaries across the country. Folks using a spigeric process. True hemp Science uses every part of the hemp plant, buds, seeds, stems, leaves, roots, every part of the plant is used, reused, combusted and reused to provide an amazing spiger product full of terpenes, all kinds of good stuff. The terpene profiles and percentages are actually right there on their website. Stop them, check them out. True hemp science.com. Is the website that you want to use. Curious seven is the code that you want to use to save 7% of your entire cart of \$50 or more. We are more than happy to welcome in this segment our good friend and security expert Mike turber. He is on the phone with us today. We are here to talk about the recent UAP panel that happened at the beginning of September. Welcome back, Mike, how are you doing? My friend, doing okay, sir, how are you doing? All right, man, it's always great to have you on the show. We've been intending to do this. You got ill. We both got busy. But I found it very interesting that there are not actually a lot of shows that covered this one. It's really interesting to already see the malaise within the within the UFO, UAP community when it comes to the transparency and whistleblower hearings that are happening on Capitol Hill. And it's it's really amazing and sad to me, to it really kind of puts an eye on the sensational side of things. Mike, when, when what you've been asking for for years is actively happening before your eyes, but the only thing that you're looking for is that, Houdini, aha, moment of somebody wheeling out a gurney with a sheet on top of it, right with a body or wreckage, and like we've said every time, like we said with Earl Gray, whenever we covered it with him, bless his soul, like we've said with you, that is not what's going to happen from these hearings. No, you

S

Speaker 3 1:04:35

know it's not. There's, there's a big gap between, I think, what the senators and the representatives see, and keep in mind some of these senators don't even have the proper security clearance,

S

Speaker 1 1:04:48

that's right, they are waived into the meeting, correct?

S

Speaker 3 1:04:54

Yeah, they're brought in on like, a need to know basis kind of thing, and and they're given enough. Information, but not it's almost like, it's like a continuous tease. It's like some, you know, some of the more famous guys out there that, you know, push some of their videos, and we get kind of used to that pattern where they over hype it, and then we get to it, and then it's not exactly what we thought it was going to be. But still, it's a step forward, as long as we're moving forward, I'm cool, but man, it's time same.

S

Speaker 1 1:05:27

And you know, let's, let's actually hear from representative Luna. I think it's a great way to start is just with the opening minute, the opening statement of what this is really all about, and especially now, and some of the things that they literally called out the arrow report, and Kilpatrick and the fact of like, wow, did you really have an active psyop officer in charge of arrow you know, so. And once again, I am not a fan of the only reason I am a fan of arrow is because it has provided a unified system of reporting for those who wear wings and in and, you know, group insignias and and rank on their sleeve, because without that, people's entire careers, people's pensions, people's wings, everything are on the line. Their families are on the line. Their healthcare for the rest of their life is on the line. All kinds of things are

S

Speaker 3 1:06:35

when Tim Phillips, when he revealed a couple of pieces of information that directly involved me. I thought that was, that was a moment that I felt vindicated on so many different levels. You know, back because nine was eight, nine year, whenever it was shortly after the three videos came out, and I said that, you know, that the data was taken by DARPA and ended up, you know, Air Force had it and but yeah, DARPA was who was there testing some of their equipment. And that's part of the overall picture. It's not the whole picture, but it's just that one small snippet. Yeah, that makes sense. And when he said that, the the previous director of DARPA was they were at a cocktail party, and one of the people in arrow walked over to him and said, Hey, and the the former director, talks to him and says, hey, just, just want to let you know something. Here's a piece of information you may not know, but we're the people that went on the on the ship after the x 43 event, and we were the ones that got the data. And I'm like, wow. And I said, Ted, if anybody searches my name in DARPA, you'll see, you'll see it there nine years ago. But I got so lambasted for that, just just left and right, but feels good to be vindicated on some of these

S

Speaker 1 1:08:04

things well, and that's just it. You know the idea of even, even you, you, you aren't wearing stripes on your sleeve or wings on your chest or anything like that. You're just an independent private contractor that does some security contracting and computer work and things like that, and exfiltration work with people from Afghanistan, all kinds of stuff, but, but to know that at least now that there is a reporting system, there is great, is great. So let's hear from, let's hear from Senator Luna to Representative Luna, real quick about what this is all about.

S

Speaker 4 1:08:42

Good morning, and welcome to the hearing regarding UAP disclosures. For too long, the issue of unidentified anomalous phenomena commonly known as UAPs has been shrouded in secrecy, stigma and in some cases, outright dismissal. Today, I want to state clearly that this is not science fiction or creating speculation. This is about national security, government accountability and the American people's right to the truth. I have spoken now to a number of whistleblowers from the military, to include the infamous Eglin Air Force Base incident that occurred when myself and former Representative Matt Gates, as well as Representative Burchett, followed up on a lead for multiple active duty Air Force pilot whistleblowers that alleged that the United States Air Force was covering up UAP activity at Eglin Air Force Base. We have heard from a number of whistleblowers, specifically military pilots, that the reason for not coming forward publicly is out of fear that speaking out would cost them their flight status and potentially their careers. This is unacceptable. We cannot protect our airspace if our best trained observers are silenced. We cannot advance science if we refuse to ask questions, and we cannot maintain trust in government if we keep the American people in the dark now.

S

Speaker 1 1:09:51

And that's just it. You know, that's that's exactly it. That's what I keep telling people like my father. I love my father, but he is definitely. Of the generation, that whenever this is brought I was, Oh, what are you talking about? Little Green Men wasting all that time in Congress and everything. It's like, whoa, whoa, hold on, despite wreckage, despite bodies, whatever we have one person, David Grush, at the very least, whose testimony and written statements and everything else have amounted to the fact of it was his job to follow the money, and when he followed the money to the door with the checkbook, the door was slammed in his face. Yeah, you know, so

S

Speaker 3 1:10:37

go ahead. Yeah. You know the saying. The saying of Follow Follow the money rings true. But keep in mind too, that most of the people that come forward are not involved in any of that process. Yeah, they, they happen to stumble across it. They, you know, a document gets leaked or or something along those lines. It's not normally like the person who did it, you know, did the money deed or whatever. Yeah, is coming out and has all the receipts and all this other stuff. And they're doing that because if they, if they did that, that's right, person would never be seen again. Yeah, yeah. So it's important that, I think when David grush came out, at first, I took him with a grain of salt. I said, you know, let me see what he's got to say. Sure, I don't normally put a lot of, you know, bite into, you know, a third party who doesn't have, like, all this direct evidence to support him. I mean, he's gone by what someone told him for the most part, and I get it, and the argument has to go back and forth, and that somewhere in there has got to be a morsel of truth, and follow the money and follow the facts and see where it goes, because there might be something entirely different going on. We all, we all suspect that the or not even suspect we know the military and the government test equipment that otherwise we probably won't see for 20 to 3040, years. And then when it comes out, we're like, wow, you had this way back when. And yeah. And so when these things come out, it's such a technology leap that a lot of people can't grab on to how that could be possible, like the f1 17, A is a classic example. Yep, that that was kept secret for over 20 years. And, you know, with that being the case, it was a weird looking plane. It can't really fly well, and it has to have a computer to fly it. But everyone that has flown it love it, yeah, you know, you go into, you go into a combat zone and and you're gonna probably come home and no one's gonna know you were there until boom, boom happens. And that's

S

Speaker 1 1:12:50

what every single pilot always said, was, like, you know that this thing should not be like when you look at it, this thing should not be in the air like it should it just, just just because of the right angles and the facets and the panels, it should not be flying. It's the computer that enables it to fly with the micro adjustments on the regs to make that happen that a human pilot would not be able to do.

S

Speaker 3 1:13:16

I remember when some images of the have blue, which is the first version of it came out, where people were taking pictures from far away, and then, you know, underneath and stuff like this, so that the general shape, but not the complete profile, and they were building like balsa wood, representations of what this plane might look like. A couple of them were pretty close. Some were pretty far off base, but their couple got pretty close, and they would basically wait it down, put an engine in, or something like that, and try to see if they can fly, and they couldn't get it to fly. And this has got to be not real. And then that's where a lot of the people hear that, and they don't have time to research it for hours or days, and they hear that. And then the the jump to alien technology is made, which it very well could be. I can't rule it out. But at the same time, if you make that jump without something to point you there, it kind of, it kind of pulls you, pulls you back in a little bit. And yeah, your neighbors are looking at you kind of funny. And, you know, they look up my tattoo on my arm a little funny. They're like, what's that? A

P

1:14:22

sparkler? Yeah. Cuz

S

Speaker 1 1:14:24

have have blues started in late 70s. Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:14:27

it was, yeah. The design came out in the 60s, yeah. And then they actually started building in the 70s and

S

Speaker 1 1:14:35

but wasn't until, like, Panama, in 91 when we took out Noriega, that we first heard of it.

S

Speaker 3 1:14:42

Yeah, that was the first time it was revealed that think Bush came on and he says, Well gas out of the bag. But this aircraft is able to fly unimpeded, without any harm coming to it, or whatever they beef. Up, but you can shoot these things down, but that's what they were doing. And that 117, South Nighthawk, you know, did its job. And by the time we saw it,



1:15:13

it was our result,



1:15:15

ready to be moth. Yeah.



1:15:16

B2 was already coming out.



Speaker 3 1:15:19

Yeah, the b2 was already coming out. And then yeah. And just like when the B 21 Raider started to gain some momentum. And, I mean, a lot of people don't look at the those two airplanes and see the why, the why we spent so much money on the difference, but once you see the technology inside, then it starts to make sense.



Speaker 1 1:15:37

Yeah, yeah. And once again, you know, it was shortly after Noriega that we went to, the first that we went to Desert Storm and everything else, where both of those planes really, really made their made their true bones as far as things out. And



Speaker 3 1:15:53

that's just, I'll never forget watching CNN with Bernard Shaw saying, yeah, here comes here comes a missile. It just turned left on, you know, whatever Street, and took a right, and it's heading off to target with, yeah. So it was happening. It



Speaker 1 1:16:09

was, it was truly the shock and awe campaign, original form, and watching

S

Speaker 3 1:16:15

those tracer rounds criss crossing the sky over Baghdad, that was me. It was, it was pretty but at the same time, you know it's, yeah, it's not pretty when you think about what's happening. But still, it was a very, very unique site to see that. You know, otherwise, a lot of people around the world don't see it

S

Speaker 1 1:16:33

well. And speaking of things that a lot of people in the world don't see, Mr. Nuttite, former, former UA, former Air Force, military police, was one of the witnesses that was sworn in and gave his statement and talked about Vandenberg Air Force Base and a red cube, as well as intimidation of people by the military, by by by other agencies, things like that. So let's hear from him real quick about his case and what what he testified to.

S

Speaker 5 1:17:19

The incursions began on October 14, 2003 when Boeing contractors reported a massive glowing red square silently hovering over two missile defense sites. After several minutes, it drifted further east onto the base and vanished over the hills. This event, now known as the Vandenberg Red Square, was referenced by Representative Luna at the first hearing on this topic, official Air Force records of this event are in possession by arrow and the FBI. Later that night, while I was on duty, security guards at a critical launch site reported a bright, fast moving object over the ocean. I responded to the incident, chaos ensued over the radio. As the object approached rapidly, I heard my friend screaming, it's coming right at us. It's coming right for us, and now it's right here. Moments later, I heard them say that it had shot off and was gone. When I arrived on scene, I talked to five shaken witnesses who described a massive, triangular craft larger than a football field, that hovered silently for about 45 seconds over their entry control point before shooting away at impossible speed. About a week later, another patrol reported a light over the ocean behaving erratically, believing it might be an unannounced aircraft, they declared an emergency and an armed Response Force responded before the forces could arrive, the object descended and either landed or hovered on our flight line and then took off again at impossible speed. The witnesses to this event were threatened and intimidated. Afterward they were told to keep quiet and think about what they were reporting. After that, things did get quiet until about 2005 when another patrol reported a massive triangular craft larger than a c1 30, silently floating over the installation. He watched it for a few minutes. It traveled west and disappeared into the night.

S**Speaker 1 1:19:31**

Now when, when you hear that from somebody, literally, a military police personnel, it's a to me, it's no different than hearing it from a sheriff's deputy or something like that, like these. These are people who are used to taking witness statements. They are more than accustomed to to being able to tell the BS be able to. Render the wheat from the chaff, so to speak, in the field. So to hear somebody of that investigative ilk talking about this and saying that like people were intimidated, and when somebody tells an MP that they were intimidated, you know, that's That's fascinating.

S**Speaker 3 1:20:24**

It is. And then there's the problem on my end is because I have to go in and and look at the evidence, and I become the bad guy because I find this or that and it happened, and I take the blows and, you know, I'm not going to be the most popular podcast ever, because, simply put, people don't want to hear things being solved. They like to keep that mystery going. And I get it. I fully get it. I just feel it's important that anytime that we're going forward on a subject matter that is as important as this particular subject is, it is paramount, especially when it comes to our borders, being encouraged and encouraged, encouraged. And don't let me come up with a new word for that. Yeah, you know, and all of these different things are encroached upon, and our bases having these objects fly over at will. And that was what we were told by Lou Elizondo that they were going to to partly do with with all staff, slash, you know, a tip was to basically categorize drones into, you know, friend or foe, obviously first, and then start going down a list of what you know, is it A threat? The key question is, are these things, threats, and in the way this, the way he described this object, like it was taking on like, like packages, or taking on equipment and things like that, well, that reminds me of of that big, that blue, often mistaken for a TRB, but it's more or less like an aerostat type dirigible, but it says the three long pontoons on each side, and it reminds me kind of that, but I'm not saying that's what it is, in no way. But you know, people will hear that and they'll say, oh, he said it was a balloon. No, no, no, not what I'm saying. But we just don't have enough evidence. I fully support everyone who has any type of sighting or whatever it is, whether it's spiritual, whether it's a physical, whether it's a first, second, third, fourth, fifth, kind any, anything like that. You have to respect those people, because the experience they had to them or it was actually real, you can't take that away. And if you do that, you basically shut down a whole line of conversation. Yeah, that might have allowed you to figure out what things are. That brings me back to like this, this, this,

P**1:23:01**

the drone that they showed the

S

Speaker 3 1:23:04

in the Hellfire video. Yeah, you know, it also circled back to several other ones, but yeah, that that's going to be kind of interesting, because there's a lot of ticks in the boxes that have to be checked off for it to even, you know, become a threat. That's the point where we're going to launch a air to ground missile at a air to air target, you know, Target, yeah, so it's kind of interesting that they did that.

S

1:23:32

Yeah, Precisely, precisely. And, you know,

S

Speaker 1 1:23:37

we have the conversation regularly with our good friend Dan Harari, or Harari, rather, from Hollywood disclosure Alliance, the whole idea of the the dialog, and where is the dialog going. And even, even after the during and after the drone hearings, we had Anthony as simple on talking about, you know, the the fact of, like, look, you can see them, like, setting up where the funding will come from. Like, you can see them riling up the fear right now and, and, yeah, it was we had, and it was right after the last UFO UAP hearing, where Lou Elizondo and everybody, and when they asked, like, why aren't we having a bigger conversation? The words out of everybody's mouth were, if we had a bigger conversation, it would expose the fact that we have gaping holes over sensitive areas, correct? Yeah, that's very true. And then we started seeing drones over sensitive areas everywhere, all over the country, all over the globe and and next thing you know, we're having an emergency funding hearing about what to do about the drones. And that's when we find out that the FBI has, like, a \$500,000 budget nationwide, nationwide for drone mitigation technology, folks that. That's the stuff to, like, point a gun at the drone and like, bring it down, or knock out the radio signal, or trace the radio signal. All kinds of \$500,000 budget nationally. That's \$10,000 per state. That is nothing. A decent, a decent DJI Mavic drone cost 2500 bucks. Man.

S

Speaker 3 1:25:24

I mean, if you think about it, that's the salary of maybe five upper level,

S

Speaker 1 1:25:29

and that's with zero tech other than just a standard camera on it, not like forward looking infrared or thermal or radiography or anything like that. So,

S

Speaker 3 1:25:42

you know, it's like the Ukraine drones. They're, they're, they're modeled to be super simple. Or they can do quantity versus, you know, their quality is there. But, you know, the problem I have with is asked to lay a line down to fly to this target, which presents other issues for it well, but it can't, it can't be jammed. Yeah, so

S

Speaker 1 1:26:02

yeah. And that's just it. And a lot of people don't realize that stuff, the fact that even, even, which we'll get into the Houthi drone in a minute, but many, many drones, specifically military drones, things like that, that are, that are one way drones, where it's like they are going to go, they're going to deploy and then they're going to come back. They don't necessarily have a pilot behind them. They are flying by fiber optic and like a Raspberry Pi with the GPS inside, you know where it goes to target deploys and comes right back home. You know they can also, do

S

Speaker 3 1:26:39

you know the map of the Earth, or map of the earth? Well, yeah,

S

Speaker 1 1:26:43

it's just, there's no, there's no signal to hack or anything like that. It's, it's operating off a hard brain inside of it. So unless you got an EMP to take that out, or some way to bring the drone down, you aren't going to interrupt the radio signal that's taking it to its target package, you

S

Speaker 3 1:27:03

know, you won't, and some of them are flown and in quantities to where you'll have two or three drones that are painting like crazy, and they're all the radar systems are, you know, looking at that. And that's kind of like it's the difference between a rogue nation kind of thing, like the Houthis and then a Ukrainian nation, which has incredible technology, but the the way that they've approached several of the abilities to attack someone with drones is very unique and different between the two. But each one sees the successes of the others, or the failures of the others, and they and they build upon that, and they can read regroup really fast, whereas we, when we build a missile system, we spend, you know, billions and billions of dollars to, you know, sometimes 10 to 20 years in development before we get it out, and by the time it comes out, you know, it may be somewhat antiquated, but they've corrected a lot of that. And I, you know, applaud that. Yeah, when I was at Vandenberg, they, they had received a shipment, because they were, you know, they launched Trident missiles off the coast there, yeah, and, and that's long talk, is the name of the city there. And what they wanted to do was to see if they could lay this thing from two or three different lasers, one land based, one air based, and one sea base.



1:28:32

And only one

S

Speaker 3 1:28:35

was completely successful, but the and that was in the initial phase going up. So that's, that's the important thing is to know that coming down, yeah, force of another color. We don't have a whole we don't have enough data to say that we're confident about that. But Iron Dome and then our version, yeah, of that, it's going to be pretty interesting when we see it in action. Hopefully we'll never see it in action, but if we do, yeah, it's going to be a neat site

S

Speaker 1 1:28:59

see well. And speaking of unique sites to see the next person who actively testified was chief Wiggins, Navy Chief Petty Officer. Now, let's, let's get into something real quick, because Chief Petty Officer ain't, ain't no scrub. That is, that is the the highest rank that can be attained by a non commissioned officer in the US Navy, which means you have been there for a while. You have been doing your job for a while, and you are really, really good at your job. Now, Chief Wiggins is, thus far the only witness or whistleblower to come forth who is still active duty. Now, this is huge, yeah, and this, this is something that I don't think anybody has given any credence to in the communities or anything like that. Once again, I'm I'm almost sick and. To buy the dismissive tone of the communities who have begged for this for years, and then once they get it, they're like, well, this isn't what we wanted. And it's like, Dude, you're never going to get the technology until it hits your shelf. Like, friggin George Jetson, you are not going to get the technology people. You're not going to see the DNA sequence. You're not going to see a chunk of hand or anything like that, wheeled out on the floor of Congress. What you're going to see is a legal means by which to hold people accountable, where we will get an ollie North type figure who stands up and says, Yes, we sold missiles, like this dude is saying, and we did it, or we sold drugs to buy missiles to sell to these people,