

CRep193

Fri, Aug 08, 2025 3:25PM 2:01:12

SPEAKERS

Speaker 4, Speaker 2, Speaker 1, Speaker 3



00:00

Is coming to you from the city of the weird



Speaker 1 00:21

exploring topics from the esoteric and unexplored to dimensions unknown, shining a light of truth on the darkest corners of Our reality. Welcome to the curious realm.



Speaker 1 00:42

Well, hello everybody, and welcome to this very special pre recorded episode of The Curious realm. Thank you so much for tuning in. Thank you so much to our good friends at SCI games International. We had a great time there last week. We hope you enjoyed all of our coverage. That was an amazing event. We have some great guests for you tonight, as always, even though we are pre recorded, it is new content never, never repeat or best of content with us. So our guest in the second segment, we are joined by our good friend, Anne saline. We will be talking about her work and blogs, things like that when it comes to paranormal, when it comes to looking at evidence in the paranormal, when it comes to how we experience the paranormal, and what those experiences are, what they may be rooted in. In the first segment here, I think we're going to be talking some deep stuff in this first segment, something that we've talked about for a long, long time on this show. Welcome back to the show our good friend Mike turber. We will be talking about tic tac UFO technology, something that we've talked about with Mike for many years now, and not only that, but the idea of a lot of the stories that we hear in the media being actual cover for actual programs going on, which is something that we've talked about regularly. Mike, welcome back to the show. How are you today?



Speaker 2 02:09

Sure, I've been worse, but I'm here, and that's a good thing, as long as I'm breathing, I'm happy.



Speaker 1 02:13

That's right, that's right. You know, this has been, this is specifically the tic tac story. Is something that you and I have been on since right at the beginning. Man, yeah, because the,

S

Speaker 2 02:26

I mean, we went, we went straight from Las Vegas right to right to name it, from tic tac, the transition was right there. Well, same time frame

S

Speaker 1 02:34

it was, it was because it was right up against the the route 91 shooting in Vegas. That is where we met you from our good friend Shannon Ray Caffey. And within months of that happening, Lou Elizondo went on to CNN with the famed tic tac videos from the US has Nimitz experience.

S

Speaker 2 02:56

October. 1 was Las Vegas, and then you had December 16. That was when the New York Times article hit, and TTSA was formed. And in between those two events, we had QA non, which I was involved in on that as well, and that investigation, and that was fun, but I couldn't get on the UFO stuff fast enough, because I was so deeply entrenched, you know, working with 65 attorneys on the Las Vegas thing. It took me a while to ramp up the speed to get to get to where I needed to be and at the level I needed to be to get the information to actually do anything. But then then it started snowballing, and I got information that no one else had. And it took six years, six years to finally be vindicated on a couple pieces, which you're going to reveal today for the four for the first time, well,

S

Speaker 1 03:44

and you know, something that we a couple things that we have been revealing for a while now, is number one, the the patent for the F 16, F 18, yeah, counter measures laser pod, which, which is basically a forward looking infrared laser that allows a system to project an object the size of a plane, really any size, size of a plane or larger hotter than a plane. The idea is, instead of deploying bits of aluminum into the air or chaff that they would be using flares, yeah, or flares, something like that that could cause other issues, environmental things, all kinds of stuff, also just the fact of how much it costs every time you fire something off, it costs 10s of 1000s of dollars. Whereas, if you have a reusable system that you can project an enemy in the air, then the the homing missile will follow that instead of the plane. Now we have said since the very get go, Mike people's experience notwithstanding, this is nothing against Kevin Day. This is nothing against fravor, anything like that. But the fact that every one of those videos is pretty much from a. Forward looking infrared pod, yeah, yeah, correct. Really does put into play. The fact of we may be looking at the use of a technology that was burgeoning right at the time of these things this, this was declassified within a year or so of those experiences out on the water during those training maneuvers. So the idea that a this may have been a weapons test, which is something that we've said for quite a while,



05:30

yeah, we finally have proof of that now. Well, and



Speaker 1 05:32

let's start getting into that, because once again, one of the things that has been said by whistleblowers is that, specifically, the tic tac technology is in numerous generation at this point and with that comes the fact of it looked like the tic tac, but now it is in standard platform that it's been changed to standard platform. And that is, that is one of the other things that we bring up from our knowledge vault all the time. But what we're showing you, folks is things directly from our knowledge vault. Feel free to follow along. Curious realm.com, forward, slash knowledge. Um, it'll take you to the declassified programs and Docs page. You can select that right now. We're looking at the Lockheed Martin mini reactor, and you can see that this this patent went through in 2018 this is for an injected reactor that is large enough to fit inside of an X f 16 platform. Yep. So this was 2018 that this patent was filed, which means they were working on it, things like that way before that,



Speaker 2 06:44

yeah, then 2013 I think that the initial video came out. And I, I they, I was there. 2000 was it 2014 it was, I think it was 2000 late. 2013 2014 I was at Skunk Works, or something unrelated. All of my trips to Skunk Works, by the way, not one of them was for the tic tac. It was always for something else, yeah. But in the interim of being there, you know, you talk and you talk to people. Of course, you're compartment wise, and they're not really allowed to talk about what they're doing in one department versus another department, even if you're under the same roof, they literally, you know, couldn't do that. But, um, you start picking up things, and you start realizing, okay, this is going to be an ongoing thing of trying to piece together this puzzle. And sometimes you get the pieces of the puzzle wrong, which I did. I wholeheartedly admitted the very first couple reports I did was wrong. But then once it finalizes and gets together, and then it comes out to the public as what we'll send you the video earlier of what Tim Phillips had to say. It pieces it pieces together in such a way that it now makes sense. And now I'm at the point where I can finish up the documentary about the three videos and wrap it up and confirm some things for other people as well. Because I'm not the only person that was, you know, looking into this, but at the same time, you know, I made some bold claims way back in the beginning. Yes, you did. You know, people laughed at me and made a lot of fun of me and and, and now I feel vindicated. I've been



Speaker 1 08:17

right there. We've towed the same line the whole time. And that is that I do not doubt one bit that a this was a training exercise that nobody there knew about, that explains why tapes were taken, things like that. You know, testing systems that aren't really fully launched yet, but we're, we're at that point, and, and I just pulled up, actually, the Lockheed Martin Skunk Works Video so, so let's play that real quick, just so you folks can see it before I take it full screen. This is actually from Lockheed Martin's page. This was posted 10 years ago. It is titled Lockheed Martin, compact fusion research and development. Yep.

S

Speaker 1 09:14

Hold on, we're having, we're having a little bit of a technical issue. Let me make sure that my audio is going where it needs to. Yeah,



09:21

I couldn't hear so,



09:26

oh, goodness.



09:31

So, yeah,

S

Speaker 1 09:34

if it doesn't show, we'll narrate it. So what they're showing, okay, I don't know why it's not coming through. Anyway, they're showing Thomas McGuire is sitting here, and he's talking an MIT engineer working with Lockheed Martin. This is branded with Skunk Works all kinds of stuff, and the reactor is actively behind them. This is 10 years ago. If you look at that reactor that is. And maybe about the size of maybe a one car garage. It would fit inside of a one car garage. Yeah, so that's 10.

S

Speaker 2 10:09

The advantage to that is, yeah. The advantage to that was not necessarily the size, so much was the fact that they could reproto Type it so fast. That's right, because you can't, yeah, you can't take the Toka mat and re in and build one, and it not work exactly as planned, and then build another one. Shortly thereafter, these things, they could build one every six months. It was three generations

S

Speaker 1 10:30

a year. Yeah, in the video is that they could, they could two to three generation this every year. And by the time this program was finished, he would need another job. This, this kid's like, 27 years old, something like that, you know. So by



10:49

he's got another job.



10:51

Yeah, he does. And then they, they, I think 2019



Speaker 2 10:56

or 2020 I was there when they had finalized a lot of the that program, and we took a picture outside. Of course, no one could talk about it, but there's a picture that was taken outside at the front of all the program leads that was in there was a part of it, and the person taking the picture at the time was a person that was in the program. I said, Give me the damn camera and getting the shot. So I ended up taking one of those pictures. I'm not sure which one they released, but whichever one it was that had everybody that would have been the one I took the picture. But, you know, it gets to be after a while you when you start looking back and you start realizing, okay, these pieces of the puzzle will come together. And you kind of back then would hope that it would have been much faster, you know, but one of the key pieces of the puzzle was when, as it relates to Nimitz, was who was, who were the people that came on board the Princeton and took that data, and that was, that was, that was an important key for me, because I knew who the People were. I just couldn't come out with the proof, because you're not going to walk out the door with a piece of paper that says that this person, now, from this phase, is who did it. But I went ahead and went on a couple of podcasts back then, and yours included, and Jim Breslow's and Michael Salas, which, you know, he actually printed the whole thing word for word, of everything I said on his page. And and I made mention that DARPA was the people that came on board and took the data them, and what hasn't been released to the public yet, and Tim Phillips, I don't think, even knows, was that the other two people that were on board, that were in somewhat uniform, that they were Air Force Research Laboratory people. So you had, you know, two from two from DARPA, you had two from the Air Force. They came on board, went inside the captain's quarters, explained to him what's going on, and they went, and that's when Kevin Day and some of the other guys, and some guys didn't see this happen, but the guys that did see it happen, remember, you know, playing as day, yeah, these guys came on and took the data, because that's just not something that



Speaker 1 13:13

it's not a normal part. It's not an SOP, not standard operating procedure. I managed to get the audio for that video, so let's watch that video real quick. Mike turbo, and I'm gonna bring it up before I start it, just so everybody can see the Skunk Works logo. There you go. You



13:44

the happy music.



Speaker 3 13:50

50 years ago, when people were... it's not a normal part. It's not an SOP, not standard operating procedure. I managed to get the audio for that video, so let's watch that video real quick. Mike turbo, and I'm gonna bring it up before I start it, just so everybody can see the Skunk Works logo. There you go. You

50 years ago, when people were super excited about nuclear power, we tried to put it on everything, including airplanes. There were some big operational issues that wasn't safe. Fusion is a much safer option a next generation of airplanes that doesn't rely on fuel and can just airlines, unlimited range, unlimited endurance. That's what nuclear fusion can do for an airplane. So nuclear fusion is the process by which the sun works. If you take a gas and you heat it up, eventually it separates into its ions and electrons. When the ions get hot enough they can overcome their mutual repulsion and collide, fuse into one piece. When they do that, they release a lot of energy, a million times more powerful than a chemical reaction, for example, what we're trying to do is control that reaction. Here on Earth, we put it in a magnetic bottle that can contain the high temperatures you need, hundreds of millions of degrees, we can release in a controlled fashion, net energy. We think we have a very robust strategy going forward that has potential for success. What this concept does is it's a high beta concept, which means we use a high fraction of the. Magnetic Field pressure, we use all of its potential, and that means we can make our devices 10 times small. So instead of something the size of a building, we have something the size of a large truck



15:13

that was 10 years ago,



Speaker 3 15:14

folks, the small is the reason we can do this quickly. If something's small, you can build up momentum. You can develop it fast. It doesn't take five years to design it. It takes three months. We can design it, build it, test it in under a year. We can do several of these cycles, and we think we can get to a prototype in five years. Every time you do plasma physics, you learn something new. And that's why this is a high risk, high payoff endeavor. That's what we're doing here, is testing that concept out to see if it really holds the it really holds the promise that it appears to and as a defense company, our increasing mission is to enhance global security, and this is how we do that. In the energy realm, the world already does. 40 gigawatts of gas turbines a year the gas line going into that gas turbine, we're going to replace that with a heat exchanger coming off our fusion reactor. We basically use the gas turbine infrastructure completely. We partner with one of those companies, and we ship fusion powered turbine plants around the world, and we expand that technology. Gas turbines are a huge improvement over other technologies in terms of climate change, but they're only a 50% improvement. We take it all the way, so no emissions, safe, operation, proliferation free. That means we can ship it to the whole world with a good conscience. The old promise of Atoms for Peace was a noble one, but ultimately flawed because the technology wasn't right for it. We can achieve that grand vision and bring clean power to the world. The true atomic age can start 10 years. We have great military vehicles. 20 years, we have clean power for the world. This isn't online by 2100 This is online by the time I can't even retire after we finish this. No, I still have to find another job after this is done.



Speaker 1 17:11

And what is funny, once again, you look at the shape of there's that Skunk Works logo. No. Yeah, but you, you look at the shape of that, that reactor behind him, Mike, you look at the shape of the reactor in this patent, and



17:38

it looks like the piece of lodge of a certain plane. Well,



Speaker 1 17:41

it doesn't just look like that. It also looks like all you would have to do is put a pill capsule around it, yeah, and it's a, it's a tic tac. That's it like round the corners of that thing, nothing more. And it's a tic tac. So, yeah, the idea that this, this is the technology that we saw burgeoning at that time. This is, this is the kind of technology that we saw on display. Yeah, let's, let's,



Speaker 2 18:18

yeah. And one of the key points we have to keep the caveat here everyone listening is that, at this point, unless we can 100% verify something, a lot of this sometimes ends up being a black project that's being whitewashed with some other That's right, you know, order disinformation that's given to us, and, you know, for in one form or another. And it's not on purpose. Sometimes it's just sometimes, you know a person in compartmentalization department, a doesn't know what Person B is bringing compartmentalization compartment B. And you, you just have to take everything with a grain of salt until you get actual verifiable information, which slowly, surely, over time we've we've gotten and, and don't call me shortly, but we'll, we'll piece it together eventually and come out with the right, right thing. That's kind of what happened. Here is a classic example, because I can't think of any UFO experience that's happened in the last 20 or so years that could possibly take on the monumental achievements that that the Nimitz encounter has taken on. It's just that that one that one event. And so what I had to do, in looking at it is I had to separate the event into its constituent parts and then tie them together, only if there was something to make him tie it together. And once I did that, I started getting to the point where I could actually determine what fravor saw, what Kevin Day saw. And I've been in talks with Kevin Day almost daily for four months now, and and then going, you know, beyond that, into the Roosevelt and what happened there. And. Talking to I can't, I can't say his name. Well, unless I get permission, I won't say his name. Will just say that a very high up person, person in mercury systems, the people that make the DR FM technology, which is partly responsible for a lot of what was seen with Roosevelt, and not so much with wood Nimitz. A lot of people don't realize also, you also the Nimitz was, you know, not the only aircraft carrier group that was in that area, but people aren't aware of it. But the Ronald Reagan had just been basically commissioned, and it was also doing its training in the same area. But you never hear anyone say anything about that. There's a reason for that, and that'll come out down the road as well. But I have an image on December 6, on November 16, which is two days after the the event of the Nimitz, right next to the Ronald Reagan. I'll send it over to you so you'll have that, you know. But how does that play out? It basically means that there are two ships in the area that had a lot of radar components that were being tested, and a lot of different things were being tested. And the month before, something else that people don't realize was they were running a program called Project called Silent hammer. And silent hammer tested a lot of new technology as well, and at the end of that, the reason why the Air Force was involved was because the Ohio class submarines were converted over to Tomahawk

launching missile launching subs instead of Trident launching subs. And that being the case, you got to see a lot of new technology that was ran by other armed forces. So if you ever hear about the Air Force being on submarines, that's basically where it started. It's just not something you see every day that the air force would be on the submarine. But when it comes to the Ohio class, that's, you know, when it when it started. So so we're going to be a lot to unpack here. We're going to have several shows over the next few months to unpack all of this, but the the end result is going to be that we now know what the three



22:13

videos were,



Speaker 2 22:16

whether and what they weren't, and also, essentially what amounts to what technology was being tested at the time. We actually know the name of the program



Speaker 1 22:25

well in you know, and we'll, we'll get into that. But before we do the idea that, once again, um, that stories, scuttlebutt rumors, um, things like that are actively used on purpose that when people say, Oh, well, I heard this happen within communities, they will actively take that story, Bloom it, water it everything else, if it is something that will help covertly attain the goal that they are trying to attain. When it comes to production of material, things like that. You know, it's, it's not an uncommon practice when it comes to the world of literal disinformation. That's, I mean that there we, we have an office of disinformation that exists since we struck down the Smith Mundt act.



Speaker 2 23:19

And there are people that eat that stuff up. If the government says, look over here, I'm not going to be looking anywhere that they tell me to look. Yeah, I'm going to be looking either totally opposite. I'll glance over there for a minute just to see what's up. But for the most part, that's why, when I, when I went to Skunk Works, and I even I was able to video that one time. I mean, they allowed me to do video, which is, I don't know if anyone has ever been allowed to video their, their trip to skunk works, but they, they let me do it. And while I was there, you know, I got to, I got to video the buildings and stuff and tell people what, what was going on. And



24:01

hang on, just checking I got something. Yeah.



Speaker 1 24:06

 Speaker 1 24:00

Oh, now you know, whenever you think about this stuff, folks, whenever you start bringing it together, whenever you start looking at these things, because once again, Mike turbo will be right back with us. But it's interesting when you start breaking down the fact that there are programs that have been going on, once again, for decades now, the idea of these micro reactors being fit into because, once again, the idea that that they have said, There's Mike,

 24:44

you there? Mike, well,

 Speaker 1 24:50

did we lose him? He there? Yeah, there, yeah, all right, um, but, like, I was just telling the audience the idea that these programs. Have been used to mask other stuff. You know, the idea that these stories have been used to mask programs like the the the reactor patent from Lockheed Martin, thing things also from, from them, the patent for the laser induced plasma for infrared homing missile counter measure. So that's 2020 the other is 2018 Those are, those are back to back patents just about and when you start looking at their dates of publication, when you start looking at their dates of when they when they came to public knowledge. Um, that's right up around and after when, when the tic tacs started coming out. So the idea that this technology was then, that the story was planted, the story was there. These are actual technologies, and then slowly and quietly in the background, three years later, five years later, these patents are released and put out so it doesn't really, doesn't really like most people's memories don't go back that far. They don't span that back that far with random connection of fact, stuff like that. So the idea that these things were released in that kind of way on purpose, you know? And, yes, okay, well, let's, let's follow the sight line and the zeitgeist of what's going on and utterly use this?

 Speaker 2 26:40

Well, here's something that's weird that you may or may not be aware of, and I can reach out to the listeners too. If anyone figures out the reason for this, let me know. I'll try to figure it out as well. Just recently, one of the patents that South Pass had done, and you know, it's five famous patents that if any one of them, you know, came to fruition into an actual working, tangible object, would earn him a Nobel Prize. I mean, you know, he's got three of them, basically three Nobel Prizes would be awarded to him if this came through. But one of the patents and the maintenance fee was not paid on it and the patent has lapsed. Oh, wow, that, yeah, that, to me, is a big I really would like to know the story behind that, because it doesn't make a lot of sense. The patents are, you can't put a patent and you have to put a patent in the inventors name, so then shall pass his name. But I'm wondering, you know, if there's some backstory to that that we may or may not be aware of or interested in, or or may not be privy to. So one of the three has to happen, and I want to find out what point it is, and see what's going on with that. That'll be an interesting story, right there.

 Speaker 1 27:55

Well, you know, and especially whenever you start looking at a lot of the I'm bringing up a few of the articles that we have and the abstracts that we have on the website right now regarding pious and and the ideas of, you know, magnetic fields, Froning, quantum vacuums, Alcubierre, warp drive. These are all things that propulsion for future flight designs for gravitational wave generators. These are, these are all things that are out there, that are have come out within the last few years.

S

Speaker 2 28:36

And the big one that trans medium craft, that's, you know, able to go through space, air and water like it's not even there. So, yeah, you know all of these things, any, any one of them, would be a lifetime's worth of work. For one person to have five patents that are just absolutely on front of face. You just the singular version would be a lifetime of working, and he has five. It's amazing. Of course, he's backed by the Navy. At the time he was working at, was at patoson, the laboratory there, you know. So there's a, there's a hidden story here that you and I both are on the cusp of, you know, wanting to know the insider information, I get some, you get some. And between the two of us, we start figuring things out, you know, and hopefully they turn out to be true. And sometimes it takes a year or so. And one of the cases, it's taken almost seven years,

S

Speaker 1 29:36

you know, this is, this is one of the things that, and people ask me all the time, Mike, whenever I talk to them, whenever I'm at conferences, like the one that I'm going to Hey, SCI games can't wait to see you.



29:50

It's one of those. Man,

S

Speaker 1 29:54

it's hard not to get carried away. It's hard not to go down rabbit holes. I. I tell people all the time, I will never play Nostradamus with you ever. I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna give, like, a hard date, you know, I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna give a hard definition of I will give, and I will always say, and it's, there's some of that's because of my past broadcast background Mike, I'm not gonna, I'm not gonna make those statements of absolute caveat the fact of this is my educated opinion. This is where my investigation has led me. This is where the facts have led me to believe. Make up your own mind. I am here to just present facts. There's a reason why the color of this show is purple. It's not only the crown chakra, but it's also politically neutral. So the idea of politics pushing this, the idea of, you know, administrations keeping this data in the background, because I don't, I don't think we're going to get with Big D disclosure, Mike, we are not going to get a Houdini moment. We are going to wheel down into Congress with a sheet on it. You know, there

S

Speaker 2 31:12

are those moments that do come up periodically that I'll be honest with you, when I get emotional, when I almost like Kevin Day does. Kevin Day gets emotional to me and him on the phone together. Sometimes, yeah, and I sit there and and I say something, knowing I'm going to be ridiculed, knowing, knowing that, you know I sometimes I'll go against the grain. Sometimes I go with the grain. It just really depends on the situation. But then in the end, I want to make certain that what I present is as factual as I can. And if it's and if it's me, you know, not even taking a guess, it's an educated guess, or or me giving out my best idea of what I think something is at the time, is one thing. But when something comes out, and finally, I'm completely vindicated, just like like someone that's in the position to know, and we're not talking about just someone that worked at DARPA. We're talking about the head of DARPA said this, and when the head of DARPA says this and verifies what I said was true six years after the fact, he can't replace the six years of ridicule that I got, but it does, it does make me feel good, and I went straight into tears. Man, it was like, Holy crap. Thank you for that, and I appreciate it. And make I have to give Mick west the kudos for that as well, because I have to credit him for getting the interview with Tim Phillips to get that information. And when it came out, somebody said, Hey, you need to watch what Nick West just did with Tim Phillips. And then when I did, I just, I started crying. It was just like, too much. But, yeah, it was, it was good. It was good. It's good feeling now,

S

Speaker 1 32:56

yeah, very good. Well, that's just it. You know when, when you add that's kind of how I feel about everything with Epstein right now, yeah, you know, because that is like human trafficking is one of the causes of this show, and we have been following that story doggedly since the days of dudes and beer,

S

Speaker 2 33:18

yeah, you know. And I remember back then, I couldn't even tell you, because I was in part of the investigation, and I couldn't, yeah, I couldn't talk about it, because I was really in it. And I ended up with, you know, the unredacted version of the little black book and all kinds of different stuff, way back before, you know, anyone else even thought about having it. And I remember if I sent you a copy of it, or,

S

Speaker 1 33:39

no, no, I've got, I've got the little black book on the just so you know, folks, feel free to go to the Knowledge vault. Go to go to hacked, hacked in court documents. You will, you will find Christine's little black book right there.

U

33:53

There you go, unread. You got down your unredacted



33:56

version, unredacted the only way to fly,

S

Speaker 2 33:59

exactly. So all of these things, and they were coming out. It just, you know, when you're when you're actively involved in about four or five different high, high profile, you know, investigations, and people bring, bring, bring, like, in my case, people will bring me in for some weird situational things, because I've proven in the past that I'm not going to reveal the secret. I'm not going to do this unless told to do so. Above all, I'm very loyal in that regard, loyal to the point where I'll run my own career in the ground before I would do any of that. But there's a certain point where you have to turn the tables a little bit. Something has to, has to go my direction. You know, I've done all this stuff for other people, and eventually, you hope it turns around. And you know, when it does it, hopefully it'll be, you know, good thing for everybody, because, I mean that there's, that's the thing is to be able to get something right, yeah, you know, in the beginning, and then, you know, however many years it takes before it becomes verified. And it come out, then it does make you feel good. It really does

S

Speaker 1 35:03

absolutely, absolutely, especially when you have doggedly held the same point like I have. I have held on this show the whole time that I have. I have believed it to be some sort of training exercise of other technology when it when it came to the Nimitz and that does not once again, as I say on the show all the time, as we say at curious research, just because data proves something else from your video, your audio, your pictures, it does not remove your experience. It does not remove the way in which your keyhole was pried open with a crowbar at that moment when you questioned reality and open your mind and open the box. You know, it doesn't remove that moment. So,

S

Speaker 2 35:49

yeah, and no one can take someone's experience away from them. I mean, that's that was. That's never the intent of anything. Yeah, in some ways it's to explain how it happened. Like, if I see something, my first thought is, like, if a UFO landed right in front of me, I'm not a person that's going to back away from it. I'm going to walk up to it. If it Japs me and I die and I'm gone, then I'll be happy to be the first person that could be officially verified that that happened there, you know, yeah, but, um, that's the kind of person I am. I always go towards things as opposed to away from things, and it gets in trouble a lot, yeah, but at the same time, it gets me the answers I'm looking for, and hopefully the answers someone else was looking for. And that's how, that's how me and Kevin Day came about, because he wanted to know what it is, even if it was something prosaic. I mean, he knows what he saw on the radar and and there's those key moments that when he told me something like the like the objects were, you know, tracking from 80,000 feet down to 28,000 feet in a very short period of time, and down to the surface of the water in point seven, eight seconds, and and so forth. And then when I asked him that one question, because he said they were in formation coming down from Catalina Island and San Clemente that area. And then I asked him the question, because it was the only thing

that was blocking me, was that, how could these objects be in any formation? And when he said that they were over 10 I asked him. I said, How far apart with these objects? And when he said they were over 10 miles apart, because I'm like, if you ask anybody what, what aircraft formation would look like you're immediately thinking of like an air show, or, you know, anything you had experience with the planes would be close together, and when you said over 10 miles apart, then that immediately answered a lot of questions for me. And then I went down that path, and because every day he had the he has, the most important part of the whole thing is we would not even be talking if it wasn't for him going after these objects that he saw on his radar, because that was the very first part of the event. That's that's when all this started, and as such, finding out what you know, what he was seeing was key. And then come to find out what fragraver saw, and then what Chad Underwood filmed three different objects, three different events, three different times of the day. But I do not realize that this didn't happen all inside of 30 minutes. This was over a period of hours and hours so but now, now that the cat's about to be out of the bag, I'm just I'm going to be very cautious about how it's perceived, you know, put all the data with it and see where it goes. And then we still have the same thing where something was being tested. It was verified that it was being tested. DARPA verified that to arrow. And Tim Phillips, who was the former head of Arrow, came out and actually said what it was. And I just sat there with my jaw on the floor going, Okay, well, this is something I've been waiting on for six years, and it just happened. What do I do now? So now, now just finalize essentially what amounts to be reported seven years in the making, and put it out there, and then let people have it and have a better understanding what happened

S

Speaker 1 39:07

back then, and not to have you speak about I mean, I'd love to have Kevin Day on to discuss this. I've wanted him off years, but and we've tried to meet that. How does, how does, what does he feel about this? What, a what do they feel about this revelation?



39:25

What they experienced in



39:29

kind of an internal war game, so to speak,

S

Speaker 2 39:32

there was there was the thever didn't believe that anyone came on board the ship and took the data. I was adamant that did occur, and I couldn't. At no time could I actually tell



39:47

told Kevin

S

Speaker 2 39:50

that I have the information necessary to prove that that data was taken by DARPA. I just can't release it now that it's based. Out there, and Tim Phillips said, it in the open, I'm going to release it. I'm going to mention the the briefing that was done at Wright Patterson that I I briefly mentioned way back when it was verified by Chris Lambright, who did a FOIA request and verified that there was, in fact, a briefing that was done at Wright pat that was done, I think it was March or April of the following year. They had all this and But what we didn't know was what the contents of the briefing necessarily were, because my friend was AV specialist, and he's the one who set up the slides for the briefing, and he had a conversation with me, which was outside of a skiff. It was not anything that he should have done. It's a career ending thing that he did. But it did happen. It does happen more often than people think. And I was never going to throw him under the bus. He's out now, so it doesn't there's not a real way to affect him unless he wanted to take away his retirement. But I don't think that's even going to be the case now, because it'll fall under the whistleblower program. So I'm trying to pull him into the whistleblower program so he can tell the story in front of Congress, and I'll tell my story in front of Congress, and we'll go from there, you know, because the truth sometimes is stranger than fiction. And in this case, I think a lot of fiction has been told, you know, but by the current characters that have been testament, you know, their testimony has been heard in Congress. I think it's time for Congress to hear, you know, the actual case of the factual truth. Some of it boring, then some of it is pretty fantastical. So we'll and everywhere in between, we'll see how it comes out. But it's going to be an interest this year is going to be an interesting year. Going to be very interesting year, because there's a lot of things. A lot of wheels are in motion. A lot of people,

S

Speaker 1 41:53

yeah, oh no, no. I was going to say yes. A lot of wheels in motion. A lot of people, go ahead. Mike,

S

Speaker 2 41:59

yeah. A lot of lot of people that were at the top of the game a while back that were surreptitiously doing things that people don't know about, behind the scenes, and I don't want to bring that negative stuff back up, but there were people that were specifically targeting people like myself, and trying to squelch us, to not allow us to speak, because they knew, you know, it was obvious to them that we were touching on a subject matter that they knew was true, that would take away their cash cow, so to speak. And that was that's been proven to be the case. And now, I think a lot of people saw the running on the wall, especially if you, if you, if you, if you're on Twitter or X, you can see the full history of it as it played out, because that's pretty much the battlefield where it's played on. But, you know, here it is. You know, I'm still here. I still got the same story, and we're going to push forward. You, you're, you're my Trooper there, because every time you needed somebody to be on a show, I was there, and every time I needed to put a piece of information out, you were there. And it's worked out. It's worked out quite well. And I appreciate you for that. That's, that's a friendship that cannot be measured



Speaker 1 42:15

S

Speaker 1 43:15

Absolutely, absolutely. You're a good guy. You're one of the good guys. I firmly concur with you, my friend, you. You are the same to me. And indeed, it begs to ask the question, especially when, because, once again, we would not be having this question, this talk, without Lou Elizondo, we wouldn't be having this and I have since the beginning. How does gentleman who worked in the halls of alphabet agencies the back halls, yeah, released two videos that were that were for internal release, cleared for internal release, not cleared for media release. So interdepartmental declassified, not media declassified. How does he go on to CNN and maintain not only his clearances and credentials, but land a government contract Exactly? And you know you have to, you have to wonder that you did, and then to see that same person who once again, years in the back halls of alpha alphabet agencies, not once, but twice, within less than a half a year, while giving presentations, show show images from his quote, vetted sources that are utterly debunked, like within moments of them hitting the internet like John Greenwald from from black vault slapped down the chandelier picture within five minutes, hitting social media, he did a back search on it and found that it was a picture of something else. Yeah, and both. So you do have. A wonder about the disinformation machine arrow to begin with. Like I've said the whole time, like it, hate it. The one thing I like is that now there's at least a unified reporting system and a way for people to come forward without losing their career, their wings, what have you you know,

S

Speaker 2 45:20

I've got to admit, after, after listening to Tim Phillips, I started to have a lot more respect for him and and what he brought to the table and did, as opposed to say, UAP Task Force and and things of that nature. And, you know, you look where it's kind of like a look where they are now, kind of thing like the Jay Stratton, the Tim and that's him, the Travis Taylor. And you said, Okay, what? What do these guys bring to the table? And then after they brought this stuff to the table, where did they go afterwards? Okay, they went to Skinwalker Ranch. Okay, all right, that starts bringing in some other, some other questions. But at the same time, you know, I work for discovery, they work for his the History Channel, and there's a battle between the two. I'm not allowed to go on the History Channel, and they're not allowed to come over to discovery that much, unless it's, you know, national international news or something. But you know, you start looking at the players in this, and you say, Okay, why? What would motivate someone? First off was, was Lou Elizondo given that information knowing that? And the person knew that it was bogus to begin with. And then the problem is, is that Lou added on top of it the fact that he had talked to the pilots, what pilots, the pilots that were flying around the chandelier, yeah, yeah, what pilots are you talking about? And so you had had the chandelier fiasco. Then he had the crop circle, you know, fiasco thing. And then he then, then, really, the one that broke a lot of backs is the last thing that you did with the harlequin baby or whatever, and that, and saying it to alien and stuff, and that that one really, for a lot of people, especially females that that saw this, and it's just as terrible. So that's three, three structure out, essentially, in my book. But you know, for someone to still maintain a security clearance after all of these incidences, there's something else that has to be there. He has to have leverage or on something. I don't want to speculate that too much, but, well, there has to be something there.

S

Speaker 1 47:28

Hey. And speaking of something there, you know, the the one thing that I've said, even, even when it comes to remote viewing, things like that, when people like, well, you know, there

wasn't a whole lot that was really gathered through that program, that's why they canceled it. It's like, Well, number one, they were funded for like, 20 something years. Yeah, you know. So they normally don't fund a program when they ain't getting nothing out of it, and they don't continue funding it, much less, do they? And now, granted, what happened was they took that human, the human intelligence that's being gathered, and, yeah, they took it out of government hands, and they put it into the hands of contractors where it can be used and used and used. And nobody asks a question. You know, it's already within the dark money of a black water or somebody like that. But when

S

Speaker 2 48:15

you're looking at even the individual, go ahead, the individual behind all that which you and I both know his name, and I'll mention here in a second. But I sent a analysis of the go fast video. When go fast came out. Keep mine go fast didn't come out at the same time the other two videos came out. It came out like Mark is the following year. Yep, I remember the date. It was sometime in March, and I and I did the I looked at it, and I'm like, Wow, all the information. I need to figure out how fast the subject is and how what its altitude is inside the video. Because that's I became very familiar with that for you, I had a friend that was a Lead Project Engineer, engineer. His name was, I call him hazy. His name is Andy, and he became very an important part. He wouldn't reveal anything that was like top secret to me, whatever, but some of the information that I have, he would start to explain it in in ways that I could re explain it to where it makes sense, and one of the key pieces of information allowed me to determine the altitude, the speed, the direction, all the stuff of that object. And when it came out to be 38 miles an hour, and at 13,000 feet, it was nothing even close to what TTSA was saying make West and metabolic cruise. They had figured it out. We all figured it out about the same time. Yeah, it wasn't rocket science. But anyways, but what was so weird was how in the world did this video. It was delayed being brought out several months to begin with, and then when it did come out that they didn't already figure out the speed and the altitude using the experts that they have in the military that were familiar with the systems that had, you know, the top secret you can. Some clearances and all this, they couldn't figure it out. So I took that video, I made an analysis, and I sent it to Tom DeLonge. I said, Listen, I worded it properly, but in a nutshell, I basically said, here's the video, here's the problem with the video, and it shows the actual altitude the object and what the objects doing and the speed of the object, you guys are going to be very embarrassed if you go any further with this. Never received a response back, but that file name that I sent to him ended up being on the desktop of a certain individual who was doing a webinar, and in the bottom right hand corner of his remember this or not, yeah, but, and I send you a picture in the bottom right hand corner of his computer was that analysis of go fast. It was my analysis. It says right on Mike turbo, go fast analysis. And I'm thinking I made it to the person that started all of this. And that was obviously how put

S

Speaker 1 50:56

off. And you know, the the go fast video was eviscerated in the same way by the NASA panel, yeah, with the fact that all the data, all the data we need, is right here in the heads up display of the craft recording this video, but in the last 10 minutes here, one of the things that I want to bring up is, once again, that historic use of things. Because, of course, even a tip was one of those when they switched things from UFO to UAP. Right? Sneaky, sneaky, very sneaky peep maneuver. But yes, that does legally allow them to say, like, sorry for your request. We don't

look into UFOs and say what you want about arrow, anything like that. But the first document that they read, the document that they released, right here we have it up, the report on historic record of US government involvement with unidentified anomalous phenomena, Volume One, mind you now, um it, it goes from the first one. Project saucer in 1946 47 project sign, 1948 to 49 project grudge, uh, original organization, 1949 project twinkle, 1949 to 1950 grudge re establishment, 51 to 52 project bear 51 to 54 that's CIA, special study program, 1952 Robertson Panel, 1953 Durant report, 1953 project, blue book, 52 To 69 CAA evaluation of UFOs. 64 O'Brien committee, 64 Condon report, 68 national academy of assessments of Condon report, 68 this. This goes all the way through to to that Roswell investigations and inquiries. 1992 to 2001 this interest goes in. It shows an unbroken pattern at no point from the founding of a the US Air Corps, in the way that we know it, which became the US Air Force, everything from World War Two on is an unbroken chain of programs looking into UFOs, UAPs, an unbroken chain relabeled, rebranded, shifted over here to this alphabet agency, then brought back. But it's an unbroken chain of evidence that at least, at the very least, the government is more fascinated than the average American out there.

S

Speaker 2 53:50

Yes, yeah, there's, there's, there's two ways to look at it too. So one of them is that the government was interested in how our own people would perceive seeing this technology. Because you can't, you can't hide it forever. The planes and some of the equipment have to get out and practice, yeah, and then, just like the f1 17, that was probably the best kept airplane secret for the longest time, because for 20 years it was, it was under wraps, and no one knew essentially what it was. And then it came out. And the, well, the first time I was used was in Panama, but the Gulf Wars when time, yeah, so the f1 17 was one of those kept secrecy. The SS 72 wasn't picked that long. It was pretty interesting how, you know, how the government does the programs, one way to see how we perceive ourselves, and then how you know others perceive it, or if there is something else out there, which is the bigger question,

S

Speaker 1 54:48

absolutely, and you know, man, we've had the conversation privately and on the show, most likely there is something else out there. The problem is it would probably, it probably locked the door. As it passed by, it probably

S

Speaker 2 55:03

came here and didn't find any intelligent life and took off,

S

Speaker 1 55:07

yeah, yeah, yeah, they're there's their craft would come by and it'd be like, it'd be like, the car and, you know, National Lampoon and heartbeat, just like, yeah, we'd have extreme parts. So fast, so fast. That's it. That's it. And I can't wait till his movie comes out. It's great there. And don't get me wrong, folks, we, we are having a little bit of fun here at the end, but in all reality, this is just the fact of once again, there is confirmation that, yes, the government has used the

stories that are put out in media and stuff like that as cover for years and years, most definitely and without a doubt, what we have seen with the world of Tic Tac is man made, whether or not it's from recovered technology. Who knows? Who knows right now? But what we know right now is that that is fully possible to be man made. So Mike turbo, as always, thank you so much for the time. Thank you as always, for coming on, breaking these deep things down. Let everybody know where they can go, to follow you where they can go, to keep track of things where they can go, to keep up with all that is five by five news, especially all of



56:35

all of the upcoming releases about all of this,

S

Speaker 2 56:38

yeah, but probably the most, the easiest there's going to be the most up to date will be on X that seems to be the platform that where someone's going to release something. It's pretty much going to be there. So pretty much there, YouTube will be part of it. Tiktok. I'm going to revamp it up a little bit and get it up to speed so I can do lives there. They seem to be a little bit more conducive to doing lives. I'll do a space on x here, but the problem with doing spaces is you don't have the visual component, so it's not as conducive to really visual information in a verbal way, which is kind of what I'm doing today anyway. But overall, those, those would be the places to find out, and then if anyone ever needs to get a hold of me any questions or anything like that. It's just this my name, which is Mike turbo at Gmail. Just ask me directly and I can try to help you out.

S

Speaker 1 57:29

Absolutely Mike, thank you as always for your time, but it's always great catching up. Thank you both. Hold the Line real quick while we close things out with the audience while you are online. And don't forget, folks follow Mike on YouTube as well five by five. News us is his channel at five by five x5 news us right there on YouTube, folks, and while you are online checking out Mike's YouTube, make sure to stop on by curious realm. Curious realm.com is where you can go to our videos page and follow all of the channels of our guests. That's where you can go to the store and buy the books, classes and movies by our guests. That's also where you can find your link to curious research and our field observation and encounter log. If you are a field researcher in the world of UFOs UAPs, stop on by Get your copy of that. Thank you so much. When we come back from this quick commercial break, everybody, we will be joined by our friend Anne Celine. We will be talking about paranormal encounters. What are they? What are they about? How do they? How do we react to them, psychologically? How do they impact us for the rest of our life. We'll be talking about that and more right after this, you can easily keep track of your investigative information all in one place, making it easier to review cases and readily see comparisons and contrasts between them, whether out in the woods, watching in a backroom, gathering EVPs, or using high tech gear to track UFO, UAP, activity this easy to carry pocket sized scientific data. Log is the perfect companion for any field researcher. You can find your copy of the curious research field observation and encounter

log@amazon.com or visit the official curious realm store at curious realm.com forward slash store to reserve your copy for yourself, your family or a friend that you want to open that website again is curious realm.com forward slash store, you.

S

Speaker 1 1:00:00

Mike. Well, hello everybody, and welcome back from that quick commercial break. Thank you so much to Mike turbo for his wealth of knowledge in breaking down what may well be our technology in the skies. We have talked with them about this since the day that the tic tac videos launched. So look into that stuff. Folks. Look into those patents there on our knowledge vault, and also look into truehimp Science. Truehimpscience.com is your source for amazing CD CBD products. If you're a user of CBD, like I am, stop on by and check them out. Christopher Lynch and true him, science, use a spider process using every part of the hemp plant, roots, seeds, stems, buds, leaves, every part of the plant is used, combusted, reused, and that process followed again and again until nothing remains, providing an amazing spigeric product, complete with terpene profiles and all stop on by check them out. Truehempscience.com. Is the website that you want to go to. Curious seven is the code that you want to use to save 7% off your entire cart of \$50 or more. Our guest in this segment is the amazing Anne saline. She is a researcher. She is an author. We will be talking with her about personal paranormal, the idea of how do we take our personal experiences and move forward in life. Welcome back to the show. Ansaline. How are you today?

S

Speaker 4 1:01:45

Hi. Thank you so much for having me back. I'm wonderful. I'm excited to be here.

S

Speaker 1 1:01:49

It's always great talking with you. We chat all the time on social media and then the background things like that. It's been really interesting to see some of the things that have popped up recently in the world of paranormal. And when it comes to paranormal, it's one of those. It's different for everybody. Like I was just at the side games this last week, and it one of the things I talked about was we need to start unpacking paranormal. We have been shoving things into the trash can called paranormal for decades, decades, decades. And when it starts to overflow, we take a big old stick and we shove it down more to make some extra room to shove in more topics that we just call paranormal, you know? And the thing is, as that happens, it really does kick up and muddy the waters. It doesn't help filter anything. It doesn't help us filter definitions between experiences, and it doesn't definitely help us help the experienter by doing that,

S

Speaker 4 1:02:58


right? So, no, that's true, yeah.

S

Sneaker 1 1:03:02

 Speaker 1 1:03:02

How do you how do you see paranormal, to begin with, anseline, like, what? What is your definition? Of course, something other than normal. But where do you draw the line? And the difference between, let's say, like, paranormal, cryptozoology, things like that at what, at what point are they in defined categories for you,

 Speaker 4 1:03:27


you know, it's hard because it's in, well, in the very beginning, paranormal and supernatural, all to me, meant ghosts and shadow entities and aliens, UFOs and the Loch Ness Monster. So everything was under this umbrella category. And so then I get deeper into it, and I began to look at the word preternatural. So things that are, you know, they're, they're a part of our world, just not really, you know, how do I want to phrase that? It's when you look at ghosts and aliens, you see that as something outside of our world, that is, you know, not natural, and it's not a part of it, but at the same time, when you look at things like witchcraft and witches, you know, they were wise women. They were using parts of our natural world to do these things. And I know I'm kind of jumping all over the place here.

 1:04:37

No, no, that's okay. That's okay.

 Speaker 4 1:04:40

But so I think that spirits and ghosts and shadow entities are very much a natural part of our world. I don't think it's a paranormal, scary supernatural thing. And then to go even deeper though, how much are these? Is outside of us versus within us being projected. So, you know, now when we look at cryptids, you know, to me, a lot of these things, like Sasquatch, could be zoologically possible. And so therefore, it's just a critter that hasn't been discovered yet, or, you know, is now we're trying to see if it went extinct and then came back or whatever. But then you have things that are like The Jersey Devil or the Mothman, you know, things that aren't necessarily zoologically possible, and so that you could put into a, you know, the paranormal, I guess, category, yeah, not so much a cryptid. I wouldn't think so. It's, it's very, I mean, it's, it's very difficult to define it with, but if we can, if we can look at it in this way and break it up into different categories, especially looking at the human mind and everything that it's capable of, to be able to separate what we can create ourselves and what is true paranormal, yeah, then we're going to be able to do a lot More for experiencers in the field as a whole,

 Speaker 1 1:06:22

yeah, yeah, precisely. And you know, you bring up a really good point, and that is that is understanding ourselves as humans, understanding the way that we think, the patterns in which we think, the way in which our brain wants to see patterns, strives to see patterns. You know, Eva, and I hate bringing up the nasty P word, but paranoia, yes, even comes into effect,

you know, and the fact of, are you seeing something, or are you seeing something that is being impressed upon you? Are you seeing something that your mind is building as an impression of something that you see and are familiar with

S

Speaker 4 1:07:02

that's, that's the hard thing, yeah, because the mind is all about putting the puzzle pieces together. It's, it's desperate to fill in the gaps. It is. So, you know, let's take, let's say you have a, well, I'll, I'll get personal, I guess. So, um, I had an experience when I was a child that I believed was perhaps a Alien Encounter, if you will. Um, and experience my bedroom when I was around six or seven years old. And in my mind, I thought that there was this being in my room, and I thought that it was, you know, trying to kind of hide as it was going around, you know, the base of my bed. And then I felt it touched me on my lower back, on my right side. Well, years later, I came across a book that said, I think it's abduction, how people come to believe they were abducted by aliens, and it goes into trauma, somatic anxiety, somatic trauma, so where trauma is stored within the body, and how these things can follow us throughout our life. So I always had problems with that part of my back. Well, then I found out. I found out what actually happened at that age, and it was a, it was a real world traumatic situation. And

P

1:08:41

so

S

Speaker 4 1:08:44

it was hard to grapple with at first, and I wasn't sure if I ever wanted to come out talk about it, but yeah, I find it important because this, this goes to show that I already had a predisposition to believe in aliens and all that kind of stuff, because of the stuff I love to watch with my father, sure. And so of course, that young age, my mind would fill in the fill in the of the gap and sit there and say, All right, you had an alien abduction. Because as scary as it is, as scary as it would be to have this experience with an alien or whatever it was, better it was easier to handle than to know that somebody I knew, had,

P

1:09:30

you know, violated your privacy in such a way,

S

Speaker 4 1:09:32

right? Exactly So, and that's without, that's outside of you. You know, thinking of aliens that you're really your mind is really separating it and but when you find out that it's a person, it's much you know, closer, and it's a lot harder to deal with. And so as an act of survival, my brain constructed that false memory, and it was not, you know, but that can be a really hard. Thing for people to grapple with. They're not going to want to really face themselves sometimes,

because then they might sit there and think, What part did I play in it? Was it my fault? They have to work through that, and then they have to look at the human that they encountered doing this, you know, and then they have to reconcile that and figure that relationship out, and that is so much harder than, you know, going into, Oh, I was, I got to ride on a shiny spaceship and do this, you

S

Speaker 1 1:10:36

know? Yeah, no, no, you know, that brings up a couple of really important points that you and I discuss regularly off air and in the that I discuss on the show regularly. And that's that's the idea that a dissociation works two ways. Yes, you know. So yes, your mind gave you an associative memory that you could accept so that you could dissociate from the pain that you experienced. However, your mind can also dissociate from an experience that it cannot understand and they cannot get that that as our good, good friend, who is now past Earl gray and Earl, should you have any great wisdom from the other side? Feel free to impart it, my friend, anytime. But the idea that there's an ontologic shock that happens, there is a point that happens where, when you have experienced something paranormal, you go into the same exact space that your mind went into to protect you from that horrible experience. It's got to make sense of it somehow. It's got to, as I popped up from that article from Psychology Today, it's got to fill in the blanks of that pattern somehow with anything that it can grasp onto, any straw that it can grasp onto of reality, you know, so. So even if that is a an experience that you have heard about, if it is something that you have read about, it, if it's something that makes sense to you, then that is normally what your mind will go with. And right, you know, once again, people, this does not as as we say on the show all the time, just because you have a red circle on a picture or a video and a professional says, hey, I can explain to you how that image in the red circle was made, and what that is that does not remove your experience of taking the picture, right? Because your mind has done this, does not remove the actual experience that has happened to you, or even the experience of your mind doing this and you realizing that there is something larger out there, right? That has changed you. It doesn't remove that experience. It doesn't take that away and change who you intrinsically are, just because that moment is explained.

S

Speaker 4 1:13:14

No, exactly it does and you know, and this isn't the blanket statement you know, or the you know for people's experiences. I believe, you know, I definitely believe in the paranormal. I definitely believe in several of my experiences. But I'm my best guinea pig, right? So I'm, I've gone to therapy, I've read a bunch of psych, psychology books to try and really decipher, okay, what was trauma? Because I have that I've got PTSD from things that have happened, sure. So what was trauma and what is genuine? And I think that it's extremely important, not just for me, but for the field and everybody that you know when we're at these conferences and stuff, when they come to us and they talk to us, because this is, to me, this is where the real healing takes place. And then, if we can also decipher between what is occurring, natural and human, you know, versus what's paranormal. Then, then I think we get closer to the truth, yeah. So, I mean, so here again. So to piggyback off of what you were saying in regards to our minds, you know, putting things together with, you know, I had made a post not long ago asking about night myopia, yeah, and yep. This was in regards to people seeing things like a Sasquatch at night. You know, you're in the woods and you see this shadowy shape. But this doesn't just go

for Sasquatch. This is also goes for like shadow entity. Are like, Absolutely, I remember being investigating at night at a, I think it was Fairfield in Ohio, and I couldn't tell if I saw the shape of a person, kind of popping in and out of a door. And, you know, I recognize that I have my own fears, my own set of of pre loaded fears, and so my brain, because it's hard to see and I do have night blindness, it's terrible. My eyes just can't see anything at night. So blobs and shapes my brain will put them into things that I can recognize, to try to make sense of what I'm seeing, to try to either be like, Oh, this is why you're afraid. This is why you need to run, or, Oh, it's just this. So, you know, be comforted. So to me, it would be harder to take reports of nighttime shadows. It'd be interesting to look into those and look into the medical of the of the person with their eyes and and, you know also, what else happened during that night to support this shadow figure? Was there anything else, or was that it?

S

Speaker 1 1:16:34

Yeah, yeah. Well, and like, I've been, I've had shadow person experiences, things like that. I've had something slap my feet, which I assumed was our old cat shortly after he passed things like that, but, but, and it's one of those, hey, if any one of those, experience should, should ever be explained, okay, it once again, doesn't remove my experience, my observation and my question, first two steps of science. So if you've seen something or experienced something, and you had a question, like, science began, you know, and that's with paranormal as well. So yeah, the idea that we we contribute a lot. We are a huge contributing factor to our experience. And even the fact Dan that that, once again, you have had numerous experiences in your life. You have researched these things, you have looked into these things. But quite literally, in the last year, the conversations we had before, the conversations we have now are vastly different because of your understanding, not only like you've explored a lot of things in the last year and a lot of things connecting paranormal to this stuff, because that that is where your experience of helping yourself went. And it's been very interesting to see how you have processed your experiences in this kind of way, and been very open to doing so. Because one of the one of the most important things in any research is a realizing your research, it cannot occur in a vacuum. Yeah, it cannot occur in a vacuum. That's not how proper research is done. You've got to have somebody that you can bang things off of talk with, and you also have to be willing to kill your darlings, which means if you've come up with a hypothesis or an explanation of what something is you may later be led to other evidence, other studies, other other topics, all kinds of things that may may pull that hypothesis away, or poor pull the support of that away. Your research partner may be like, well, you know, I don't know if you've looked at this and you have to be willing to go, oh, my, you're right. I didn't look at that. So the fact that you had very, very well formed opinions about your experiences and what had happened and your studies and other topics have brought you to another understanding of what those experiences were, right? Like? Once again, there's a reason why this part of the episode is called Personal paranormal, because it it's one of those we have to be willing to examine ourselves, and if we aren't willing to examine ourselves in our place and even our place in the journey of you know where we are in the investigation of not only what has happened to us as experiencers, but what is happening in the field. What is of whichever para discipline you study? I asked you at the beginning of the show to define paranormal. I will do it myself and. Yes, anything that is outside of the paranormal. However, I tend to get much like science is categories, is categorized as possible. You know, I will always say experiencers of UFO UAP tend to experience other paranormal things, same things with cryptid Same things with, you know, parapsychological events, you know, stuff like that. So, and I kept bringing it up this weekend, you know, para because there were a couple people that kept saying, this is the best paranormal conference ever. And I was like, wow, totally a parapsychological conference, right? There is nothing

paranormal going on here. There's, there's no EVP, right? There's no, you know, I tend to put paranormal mostly in the realm of ghosts, right? That is, that is what I specifically put paranormal to. Everything that we talk about is paranormal, technically, because it's outside of the norms of society and conversation, right? Much like saying that I see a UFO, yes, I've technically seen a UFO. It was a thing going through the sky that was amorphous. It was flying. It was an object. I don't know what it was, but what I say that I've seen a craft No, no, right? Because that, to me, is a definable object, you know, right? So keeping those definitions clear, as clear as possible anyway, keeping keeping those things separated in your mind is a great way to start, literally, to to begin giving things a in it's it's not about, not about confusing things or trying to, trying to keep you from thinking about things. It's more about letting you think outside of the box, right, within a paradigm, you know, because otherwise you can think way too outside of the box and get completely lost. You know, you still kind of have to focus that outside of the box, thinking like that's true, even in a brainstorming session with an engineering group, you can't just fully free think, you know, you got to stay somewhat on task and on target with with the outside of the box thinking that you're doing, you know. So you

S

Speaker 4 1:22:29

it's, it's, you know, because in the beginning as a child, you know, all that stuff was, was paranormal and it was spooky. It was the campfire stories. It was the are you afraid of the dark and goosebumps, you know? And so this is where all of my interest grew. And then from there, I ended up reading, gosh, I got into folklore. And there are some websites that had great stories on it, you know. I wish I could remember the name of it, but like, there's the devil's tramping ground, there's the Mako lights, and everything was just spooky. And you know, then I had all my different experiences. Some I was alone, some I was with others. And, you know, I have everything chronological in my book, and so, so So then I ended up having my own podcast, and I start interviewing other people. And you know, I'm getting into quantum physics and quantum mechanics and and then I'm even more so in the podcast world. And you know, I ended up having, you know, kpnl radio for a time from 2020 until, I think 2024 and so I got deeper and deeper into the research. And the more you learn, of course, the more you figure out that you don't know and you're never going to know, and it's just this constant evolving thing. And so then I, you know, jump into psychology. And I decided, you know, the best thing I could do is research myself in regards to my singular experiences that I had on my own, and really put it underneath the microscope. And I even took it to therapy to be like, alright, let's explore all these different, you know, options. And you know, the ones that I experienced with other people, witnesses and stuff they, you know, they're in their own category, and I'll go through that stuff later, but I'm still in the process of, you know, putting myself under the microscope. Now, my book is going to be republished. However, I'm not changing it. I'm adding, I mean, the title and the cover is changing because I think that originally it was too ambiguous and it was hard to understand, yeah, and then so it's going to be more clear. And then. I'm writing a second book, which there's a little like preview of that in the back of the book. However, the meat and potatoes of it is not changing, because I want people to see where I was in my first book, and then where I am now in my second book, and how that transition can take place, because I don't know how many people really consider that just because you have that belief doesn't mean it always has to stay, and it doesn't mean that you were Ness, you know, necessarily wrong. You were just in that space at that time. And we all evolve, and in many different ways, yeah,

O

1 2 3 4 5



1:25:45

yeah,



Speaker 1 1:25:46

well, well, and the thing is, we have to be willing to evolve. People have asked me before, because, of course, I did all the branding for the show, things like that. Yes, we talk a little bit about conspiracy on this show. There's a reason why the the logo incorporates an unfinished pyramid,



1:26:06

right? But, but



Speaker 1 1:26:09

it also has the magnifying glass there in place, because our job is to demystify that conspiracy theory and actually examine it and look at it. You know, so. But it's also there because esoterically, we are unfinished pyramids. That's that's the message of that symbol, is that the temple is never finished. You know you are, you are always under construction. You're always growing. You're always changing. Eternal STUDENT Well, and you should always be much like I tell people all the time, I wear the badge of Skeptic with honor. I do not consider it a nasty word, not if done properly. I am not here to troll anybody. I'm not here to I am here to explain things. I'm here to demystify. I am a believer. I have had my experiences, but because of that, my realm of evidence must be higher. What I call evidence must be higher and like I say on the show all the time, like I say in my presentations, evidence is a word used to keep you out of court or to keep you out of prison or to put you in right. Never let it less than that. You know, there's a lot of things that pieces of data in a court case go through to become evidence, right? And there's such a thing as evidence that is slam dunk and such a thing as evidence that is like, very, very cursory, like, people's just word about something. And don't get me wrong, I believe the experience are until proven otherwise, right? But, but we have to be willing to admit that. We have to be willing to say that, much like you have to be, had to be willing to examine your experiences through a different lens. Once you found that lens that looked at things in that kind of way, you've got to be willing to



Speaker 4 1:28:02

well, you know, and as somebody who really is committed to healing, right? So I believe that healing myself, I mean, and this is, like the number one thing everybody is, it's our jobs to look within ourselves and heal ourselves, because in doing that, we essentially can really heal and affect the world, because the way that, you know, it's like when somebody comes to me and says, Oh my gosh, this person treated me XYZ, you know, or they said this, or they said that, or behaved whatever. Well, that's a reflection of them, and it has nothing to do with us, and it has to do with their personal relationship with themselves on the inside, and this is why we have to do that work, because then if we do that work, we're projecting a lot more positively on the world around us and on everybody that we end up encountering. So if I can take that, if I can

take the hot seat, put myself under the microscope and really try to heal myself, then I also understand what that feels like. I can understand what somebody would go through, and then I can kind of shine that light for others and let them know that they're not alone, and let them know that there is no shame. And being like, Oh, I totally called myself a an abduct abductee for XYZ years, or whatever it was, and then to be like, No, I'm, I'm, what would it be called a trauma, the trauma experiencer or whatever, instead of an abductee or whatever, and, yeah, there's no shame in either one. That's right, you know, but so I'm willing to go through that for others. I'm willing to do this so that way we can have more healing and love in our field and in the.